

TNMD010041512026



In the Court of the Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Present : THIRU. M.SAISARAVANAN., M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Thursday, this the 2nd day of July, 2026.

Crl.M.P.No.3067/2026

CNR No.TNMD010041512026

1. Sivasamy, S/o Chinnandi

2. Aasaithambi, S/o Chinnandi

3. Prabhu, S/o Kasimayan

4. Santhipriya, W/o Prabhu

... Petitioners/Accused.

Vs

State through the Inspector of Police,

Sindhupatti P.S. in Cr.No.122/2026

... Respondent/Complainant.

This e-petition coming on today for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.P.Kasimayan, Advocate for the petitioners and of Public Prosecutor for the State, this court passed the following:

Order

1. Anticipatory bail applications u/s 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023.

2. Heard both.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners/A1 to A4 submitted that the respondent police has registered a case against the petitioners in Cr.No.122/2026 for the offences u/s. 296(b), 324(3), 115(2), 351(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023 r/w. Sec.4 of TNPWH Act. The petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged. Both parties are close relatives. Due to property dispute, the defacto-complainant has given false complaint against the petitioners. Injured discharged from hospital. Counter case is also pending in Cr.No.121/2026. Now, the matter has been compromised between the parties. Investigation is almost over. No similar petition is filed or pending before any superior courts. The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of police and they are

ready to abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this court and prayed to allow the petition.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that totally there are 4 accused persons involved in this case, who are the petitioners herein. As per the case of the prosecution, the defacto-complainant and the accused persons are relatives. There enmity existed between them in the matter of partition of ancestral property. Due to that motive, on 21.06.2026, all the accused persons abused the defacto-complainant in filthy words, driven the tractor in the land and damaged the crops planted by the defacto-complainant. When the defacto-complainant asked about the same, the accused persons assaulted the defacto-complainant and her relatives namely Meena, Karuppasamy, Kavaskar and Thivakar by stick and pipe and criminally intimidated them. Injured discharged from hospital. Investigation is pending and objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Rival contentions are taken into consideration. The alleged offences against the petitioners are U/s. 296(b), 324(3), 115(2), 351(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023 r/w. Sec.4 of TNPWH Act. Injured discharged from hospital. Counter case is also pending in Cr.No.121/2026. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that now, the matter has been compromised between the parties. No bad antecedent is reported against the petitioners. Substantial part of investigation ought to have been completed by this time. Considering all these aspects, the nature of offences and the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners on conditions.

In the result, in the event of arrest or on their surrendering before the Court concerned the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate, Thirumangalam subject to the following conditions:-

(i) The petitioners shall surrender before the concerned Court within 15 days from today; failing which, the petition shall stand automatically canceled.

(ii) The petitioners shall appear and sign before the Inspector of police, respondent police station **daily at 06.00 p.m. for a period of 30 days.**

(iii) The petitioners shall co-operate with the investigation, shall not threaten the witnesses, shall not induce the witnesses and shall not cause hindrance to the pending investigation.

(iv) The petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

(v) If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation officer is within his discretion to approach the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned for cancellation of bail as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **Shaji/Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 2nd day of July, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Madurai

Copy to

1. The J.M.Thirumangalam.
2. The Inspector of Police, Sindhupatti P.S.
3. The Petitioners through their counsel.