

TNMD010041462026



In the Court of the Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Present : THIRU. M.SAISARAVANAN., M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Wednesday, this the 8th day of July, 2026.

CrI.M.P.No.3062/2026

CNR No.TNMD010041462026

1. Anbuselvam @ Anbarasan, S/o Arumugam
 2. Poongodi, W/o Arumugam
 3. Sundharalingam, S/o Gandhi
 4. Chinnasamy, S/o Gandhi
 5. Tamil Selvam @ Tamilarasan, S/o Arumugam ... Petitioners/Accused.
- Vs

State through the Inspector of Police,

Thirumangalam Taluk P.S. in Cr.No.149/2026 ... Respondent/Complainant.

This e-petition coming on today for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.A.Ilayaraja, Advocate for the petitioners and of Public Prosecutor for the State, this court passed the following:

Order

1. Anticipatory Bail application u/s 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS).

2. Heard both sides.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners/A1 to A5 submitted that the respondent has registered a case in Cr.No.149/2026 against the petitioners for the offences u/s. 191(2) and 118(1) of BNS. The petitioners have not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. The defacto-complainant and the accused persons are close relatives. The 1st petitioner's name is Anbarasan and the 2nd petitioner's name is Tamilarasan, but, their name is wrongly mentioned in F.I.R. as Anbuselvam and Tamilselvam. Due to previous enmity, the defacto-complainant lodged false complaint. Injured discharged from hospital. Investigation is almost completed. No similar petition is filed or pending before any superior courts. The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of police. The petitioners are ready to

abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this court and prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. Per contra, the learned Public Prosecutor submitted that totally there are 5 accused persons in this case, who are the petitioners herein. As per the case of the prosecution, there enmity existed between the defacto-complainant's son-in-law and the accused persons. Due to that motive, on 23.06.2026 A1 called the defacto-complainant's son-in-law over phone to Sathangudi for compromise talk. When the defacto-complainant's son-in-law went there, all the accused persons assaulted him with stick and iron rod and caused injuries to him. Injured discharged from hospital on 04.07.2026. Investigation is pending and objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Rival contentions are taken into consideration. The alleged offences against the petitioners/accused are u/s. 191(2) and 118(1) of BNS. Injured discharged from hospital on 04.07.2026. On perusal of F.I.R., there is no specific overt act against the petitioners. No bad antecedent is reported against the petitioners. Material part of investigation ought to have been completed by this time. Considering the above said facts, the nature of offences and the punishment for the same, the discharge of injured and the facts and circumstances of this case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners on conditions.

In the result, in the event of arrest or on their surrendering before the Court concerned the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate, Thirumangalam subject to the following conditions:-

(i) The petitioners shall surrender before the concerned Court within 15 days from today; failing which, the petition shall stand automatically canceled.

(ii) The petitioners shall appear and sign before the Inspector of police, respondent police station **daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 30 days.**

(iii) The petitioners shall co-operate with the investigation, shall not threaten the witnesses, shall not induce the witnesses and shall not cause hindrance to the pending investigation.

(iv) The petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

(v) If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation officer is within his discretion to approach the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned for cancellation of bail as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **Shaji/Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 8th day of July, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Madurai

Copy to

1. The J.M.Thirumangalam.
2. The Inspector of Police, Thirumangalam Taluk P.S.
3. The Petitioners through their counsel.