

TNMD010040362026



In the Court of the Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Present : THIRU. M.SAISARAVANAN., M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Monday, this the 6th day of July, 2026.

CrI.M.P.No.2992/2026

CNR No.TNMD010040362026

Athimulam, S/o.Palaniyandi

... Petitioner/Accused.

Vs

State through the Inspector of Police,

Anna Nagar P.S. in Cr.No.103/2026

... Respondent/Complainant.

This e-petition coming on today for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.G.Raj, Advocate for the petitioner and of Public Prosecutor for the State, this court passed the following:

Order

1. Anticipatory Bail application u/s 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS).

2. Heard both sides.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would submit that the respondent police has registered a case against the petitioner and others in Crime No.103/2026 for the offences u/s 115(2) and 304(2) of BNS. The petitioner is in no way connected with the offence alleged. The petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged. Co-accused are granted bail by this court. No similar petition is filed or pending before any superior courts. The petitioner apprehends arrest at the hands of police. The petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this Court and prayed to allow the petition.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that on 02.03.2026, A1 to A3 have beat the defacto-complainant indiscriminately and snatched her cellphone and ran away from the place of occurrence. A1 and A2 were arrested and the cellphone

was recovered from A1. Investigation is pending and strongly objected to allow the petition.

5. Rival contentions are taken into consideration. The alleged offences against the petitioner/accused are u/s 115(2) and 304(2) of BNS. As per the case of the prosecution, A1 to A3 have assaulted the defacto-complainant and snatched her cellphone. Case property was recovered from the arrested A1. Admittedly, the concerned Judicial Magistrate granted bail to A1 and A2 considering their duress period, which cannot be extended to the petitioner/A3, who is seeking anticipatory bail. Custodial interrogation of petitioner is very much essential. Investigation is pending. Considering all these aspects, the nature offences, the pending stage of investigation and the objections raised on the side of prosecution, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner and accordingly, the petition is liable to be dismissed.

In the result, the petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 6th day of July, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Madurai

Copy to : The J.M.No.6. Madurai.