



In the Court of the Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Present : THIRU. M.SAISARAVANAN., M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Tuesday, this the 23rd day of June, 2026.

Crl.M.P.No.2858/2026 & 2887/2026.

CNR No.TNMD010038682026 TNMD010039132026

Murugeswari, D/o.Late.Kannan

... Petitioner/Accused
in Crl.M.P.No.2858/2026.

Vignesh, S/o.Kumar

... Petitioner/Accused
in Crl.M.P.No.2887/2026.

Vs

State through the Inspector of Police,
Vilakuthoon P.S. in Cr.No.141/2026

... Respondent/Complainant
in both petitions.

These petitions coming on today for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.M.Thangapandian, Advocate for the petitioner in Crl.M.P.No.2858/2026, Thiru.G.Dharmaraj, Advocate for the petitioner in Crl.M.P.No.2887/2026, and of Public Prosecutor for the State, this court passed the following:

Common Order

1. Bail applications u/s 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS).

2. Heard both side.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners/accused submitted that the respondent police has registered a case in Crime No.141/2026 for the offences u/s 191(2), 191(3) & 103(1), 61(2), 49, 3(5) of BNS against the petitioner sand others. The petitioners/A11 and A7 are in judicial custody for the past 23 / 28 days respectively. The petitioner/A11 is the wife of A1. It is alleged that A1 has used the two-wheeler of the petitioner/A11 for commission of the offences, on that ground, the wife of A1 is wrongly implicated in this case. The name of petitioner/A7 is not mentioned in the FIR and there is no specific allegations against A7. The petitioners are in no way connected with this case. No similar petition is filed or pending before any superior courts. The petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this Court and that the petition is to be allowed.

4. Per contra, the learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the defacto-complainant is the mother of the deceased Kubendran. Due to previous enmity between the said Kubendran and A1, on 24.05.2026, A1 to A11 have conspired to murder the deceased and accordingly A1 to A5 have brutally assaulted the defacto-complainant's son with knife and Aruval indiscriminately, due to which, he died. Investigation is at early stage and objected to allow the petition.

5. Rival contentions are taken into consideration. The alleged offences against the petitioners/accused are u/s 191(2), 191(3) & 103(1), 61(2), 49, 3(5) of BNS. It is the case of the prosecution that 5 accused are directly involved in commission of the offences and that others including the petitioners herein (A7 and A11) have conspired with the offenders and also abetted for the murder. The petitioners are in custody only for 23 / 28 days respectively. It is a case of brutal murder. Investigation is at preliminary stage. At this stage, if the petitioners are released on bail, there is a chance for tampering the evidence, which will hamper the investigation. Considering the above facts, the heinous nature of offences and the strong objections placed on the side of prosecution, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners at this stage and accordingly, the petitions are liable to be dismissed.

In the result, the petitions are dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 23rd day of June, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Madurai

Copy to : The J.M.No.1. Madurai.