

TNMD010038062026 TNMD010038812026



In the Court of the Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Present : THIRU. M.SAISARAVANAN., M.L.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Tuesday, this the 23rd day of June, 2026.

CrI.M.P.No.2844/2026 & 2895/2026.

CNR No.TNMD010038062026 TNMD010038812026

Ragupandi, S/o.Selvam

... Petitioner/Accused
in CrI.M.P.No.2844/2026.

Manoj, S/o.Raja

... Petitioner/Accused
in CrI.M.P.No.2895/2026.

Vs

State through the Inspector of Police,
Samayanallur P.S. in Cr.No.153/2026

... Respondent/Complainant
in both e-petitions.

This e-petitions coming on today for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.S.Suresh Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners in both e-petitions, and of Public Prosecutor for the State, this court passed the following:

Common Order

1. Anticipatory Bail applications u/s 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS).

2. Heard both.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners/accused in Cr.M.P.Nos.2844 & 2895/2026 submitted that the respondent police has registered a case against the petitioners and others in Cr.No.153/2026 for the offence u/s. 25(1)(A) of Arms Act. The alleged occurrence is said to have taken place on 13.06.2025. But, F.I.R. was registered on 13.06.2026 with delay of one year. The petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. The name of petitioner in Cr.M.P.No.2895/2026 is not found in F.I.R. Already co-accused/A1 was released on bail by the concerned Judicial Magistrate, on own bond. Investigation is almost over. No similar petition is filed or pending before any superior courts. The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of police. The petitioners are ready to

abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this Court and prayed to allow the petition.

4. Per contra, the learned Public Prosecutor submitted that totally there are 3 named accused and some others involved in this case and the petitioners are A2 and unnamed accused. As per the case of the prosecution, because of the birthday of the A1, on 13.06.2025 all the accused persons cut the cake with big sword and recorded the same in mobile phone and uploaded in social media causing threat to the society. Investigation is pending and objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Rival contentions are taken into consideration. The alleged offences against the petitioner is U/s. 25(1)(A) of Arms Act. The defacto-complainant is the S.I. of Police, Samayanallur P.S. On 13.06.2026, while the defacto-complainant, along with his team, was on patrol duty, he received secret information that on 13.06.2025, all the accused persons had uploaded photographs of themselves cutting a cake with a long sword. The defacto-complainant, who is the S.I. of Police registered the F.I.R. himself only based on information given by somebody else. F.I.R. registered on hearsay, with delay of one year. No bad antecedent is reported against the petitioners. Material part of investigation ought to have been completed by this time. Considering all these aspects and the facts and circumstances of this case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners on conditions.

In the result, in the event of arrest or on their surrendering before the Court concerned the petitioners in Cr.M.P.Nos.2844 & 2895/2026 are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate, Vadipatti subject to the following conditions:-

(i) The petitioners shall surrender before the concerned Court within 15 days from today; failing which, the petition shall stand automatically canceled.

(ii) The petitioners shall appear and sign before the Inspector of police, respondent police station **daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 30 days.**

(iii) The petitioners shall co-operate with the investigation, shall not threaten the witnesses, shall not induce the witnesses and shall not cause hindrance to the pending investigation.

(iv) The petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

(v) If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation officer is within his discretion to approach the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned for cancellation of bail as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **Shaji/Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 23rd day of June, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Madurai

Copy to

1. The J.M.Vadipatti.
2. The Inspector of Police, Samayanallur P.S.
3. The Petitioners through their counsel.