

TNMD010033972026



In the Court of the Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Present : THIRU. M.SAISARAVANAN., M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Thursday, this the 11th day of June, 2026.

CrI.M.P.No.2584/2026

CNR No.TNMD010033972026

Veeranan, S/o.Manoharan

... Petitioner/Accused.

Vs

State through the Inspector of Police,

Sedapatti P.S. in Cr.No.115/2026

... Respondent/Complainant.

This petition coming on today for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.M.Ganesan, Advocate for the petitioner and of Public Prosecutor for the State, this court passed the following:

Order

1. Bail application u/s 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS).

2. Heard both side.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused submitted that the respondent police has registered a case in Crime No.115/2026 for the offences u/s 123 of BNS, 77 of J.J.Act, 6(b) and 24(1) COTPA, 2003 against the petitioner. The petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 21 days. The petitioner is in no way connected with the offences alleged. No similar petition is filed or pending before any superior courts. The petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this Court and that the petition is to be allowed.

4. Per contra, the learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the defacto-complainant is the Sub-Inspector of Police, Sedapatti P.S. On 22.05.2026, the petitioner was arrested by the defacto-complainant for having possession of prohibited tobacco products in his auto for sale to public and school children. The defacto-complainant recovered 125 bundles of various brands of prohibited tobacco products and the auto, which was used for transporting the same, from him. The petitioner has 2 previous cases. Investigation is pending and objected to allow the petition.

5. Rival contentions are taken into consideration. The alleged offences against the petitioner/accused are u/s 123 of BNS, 77 of J.J.Act, 6(b) and 24(1) COTPA, 2003. As per

the case of the prosecution, the petitioner was transporting 125 bundles of various brands of prohibited tobacco products in his auto. The defacto-complainant is the Sub-Inspector of Police. All other witnesses are official witnesses and there is no chance for tampering the evidence. The petitioner is in custody for 21 days. Material part of investigation ought to have been completed by this time. Further custodial interrogation of petitioner is not necessary. Though previous cases are reported against the petitioner, considering the above facts, the duress period of petitioner and the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner on conditions.

In the result, the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for Rs.10,000/- along with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate, Peraiyur subject to the following conditions:-

(i) After release, the petitioner shall appear sign before the Inspector of Police, respondent police station **daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 30 days.**

(ii) The petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation and shall not threaten the witnesses and shall not cause hindrance to the pending investigation.

(iii) The petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected.

(iv) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

(v) If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is within his discretion to approach the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate, concerned for cancellation of bail as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **P.K.Shaji/Vs/State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W.5560.**

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 11th day of June, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Madurai

Copy to

1. The J.M.Peraiyur.
2. The Inspector of Police, Sedapatti P.S.
3. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Madurai.
4. The Petitioner through his counsel.