



In the Court of the Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Present : THIRU. M.SAISARAVANAN., M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Thursday, this the 11th day of June, 2026.

Crl.M.P.No.2583/2026

CNR No.TNMD010033952026

Nanteeshwaran, S/o.Murugesan

... Petitioner/Accused.

Vs

State through the Inspector of Police,

Anna Nagar P.S. in Cr.No.192/2026

... Respondent/Complainant.

This petition coming on today for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.V.Pavel, Advocate for the petitioner and of Public Prosecutor for the State, this court passed the following:

Order

1. Bail application u/s 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS).

2. Heard both sides.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused submitted that the respondent police has registered a case against the petitioner and others in Cr.No.192/2026 for the offences u/s 126(2), 296(b), 103(1), 118(1) & 351(3) of BNS. The petitioner/A4 is in judicial custody for the past 53 days. The wife of A1 and the deceased had developed extra marital affair and motive prevails between them. The petitioner/A4 is in no way connected with the offences alleged and he was not present at the place of occurrence. The petitioner's name is not mentioned in the FIR. Even as per FIR, 3 persons are involved in the occurrence and there is no specific overt act against the petitioner/A4. Investigation is almost over. No similar petition is filed or pending before any superior courts. The petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this Court and that the petition is to be allowed.

4. Per contra, the learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the defacto-complainant is the father of the deceased. The deceased had developed extra

marital affair with the wife of A1 and in this regard enmity prevails between them. As a result, on 17.04.2026, A1 to A4 have wrongfully restrained the deceased, abused him in vulgar words and assaulted him brutally with Aruval, due to which, he died. The petitioner has 8 previous cases. Investigation is pending and objected to allow the petition.

5. Rival contentions are taken into consideration. The alleged offences against the petitioner/accused are u/s 126(2), 296(b), 103(1), 118(1) & 351(3) of BNS. As per the case of the prosecution, due to previous enmity, A1 to A4 have murdered the deceased. It is a case of brutal murder. The petitioner is in custody only for 53 days. Investigation is pending. Moreover, the petitioner is involved in 8 previous cases. Considering the above facts, the heinous nature of offences, the bad antecedents being reported against the petitioner and the strong objections placed on the side of prosecution, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage and accordingly, the petition is liable to be dismissed.

In the result, the petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 11th day of June, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Madurai

Copy to : The J.M.No.6. Madurai.