



In the Court of the Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Present : Thiru. S. SIVAKADATCHAM, B.Sc., M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Wednesday, this the 18<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

CrI.M.P.No.1159/2026

CNR No.TNMD010019092026

Srivetrivel, S/o.Muthuramalingam

... Petitioner/Accused.

Vs

State through the Inspector of Police,

Keerathurai P.S. in Cr.No.109/2026

... Respondent/Complainant.

This petition coming on today for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.B.Aravinthan, Advocate for the petitioner and of Public Prosecutor for the State, this court passed the following:

**Order**

1. Bail application u/s 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS).

2. Heard both.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would submit that the respondent police has registered a case against the petitioner in Cr.No.109/2026 for the offence u/s 25(1)(a) of Arms Act. The petitioner was arrested by the respondent police and remanded to judicial custody on 04.03.2026 and is in judicial custody for the past 15 days. The petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offences as alleged. The petitioner has not filed similar petition before the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court or any other court. The petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this court and prayed to allow the petition.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that it is a case of single accused, who is the petitioner herein. As per the case of the prosecution, on 04.03.2026 at 08.00 a.m., near the Cemetery at Keerathurai, Madurai, the defacto-complainant, who is the Sub Inspector of Police, Keerathurai P.S. has arrested the petitioner for having possession of sword with intention to commit crime. Sword was recovered

from the petitioner. Hence, the complaint. The petitioner has 4 previous cases. Investigation is pending and objected to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Rival contentions are taken into consideration. The alleged offence against the petitioner is u/s 25(1)(a) of Arms Act. The occurrence is said to have taken place on 04.03.2026. The petitioner is in custody only for 15 days. Material part of investigation has not been completed. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that the petitioner is involved in 4 previous cases, out of which, 3 cases are under E.C.Act and one case is of similar offence and all the cases are recent occurrences concerned with year 2024 & 2025. If the petitioner is released on bail, he will indulge in more criminal activities. Though the measurement of weapon said to have been recovered from the petitioner is not mentioned in the FIR, the learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the length of the sword is 21 cm., which is mentioned in the Seizure Mahazar. Considering all these aspects, the bad antecedents being reported against the petitioner, the early stage of investigation and the strong objections placed on the side of prosecution, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage and accordingly, the petition is liable to be dismissed.

In the result, the petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 18<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,  
Madurai

**Copy to :** The J.M.No.4. Madurai.