

TNMD010018642026 TNMD010019062026



In the Court of the Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Present : **Thiru. S. SHUNMUGAVEL B.A., B.L.,**
 (II Additional District Judge (CBI Cases) , Madurai)
 Principal Sessions Judge (i/c), Madurai.
 (Authorised U/s.8(8) of BNSS)

Monday, this the 16th day of March, 2026.

CrI.M.P.No.1122/2026 & 1149/2026.

CNR No.TNMD010018642026 TNMD010019062026

Raghuram, S/o.Balakrishnan

... Petitioner/Accused
 in CrI.M.P.No.1122/2026.

1. Udhaya @ Udhayakumar, S/o.Rajendran

... Petitioners/Accused

2. Vicky @ Vigneshkumar, S/o.Rajendran

in CrI.M.P.No.1149/2026.

Vs

State through the Inspector of Police,
 Anna Nagar P.S. in Cr.No.96/2026

... Respondent/Complainant
 in both petitions.

These petitions coming on today for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.P.Solai Raja, Advocate for the petitioner in CrI.M.P.No.1122/2026, Thiru.P.Shiva, Advocate for the petitioners in CrI.M.P.No.1149/2026, and of Public Prosecutor for the state, this court passed the following:

Common Order

1. Bail applications u/s 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS).

2. Heard both sides.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners/accused in Cr.M.P.Nos.1122 & 1149/2026 would submit that the respondent police has registered a case against the petitioners and others in Crime No.96/2026 for the offences u/s 127(2), 191(2), 191(3), 115(2), 118(1), 109 of BNS. The petitioners were arrested by the respondent police and remanded to judicial custody on 18.02.2026 / 26.02.2026 and are in custody for the past 27 /19 days respectively. The petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offences as alleged. The petitioners are friends of A1, on that ground, they are falsely implicated in this case. The petitioners are in no

way connected with the offences alleged. There is no direct eye witness for the occurrence and that the complaint is lodged based on the hearsay evidence. The petitioners have not even seen the deceased person. The petitioners have not filed similar petition before the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court or any other court. The petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this Court and prayed to allow the petitions.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that totally there are 12 accused in this case and the petitioners are A3, A9 and A10 (rank as per FIR). As per the case of the prosecution, the defacto-complainant is the wife of injured/deceased. There existed enmity between A4 and the injured/deceased as the latter pledged the car of A4 with somebody, which was taken on rent by him from A4 and though they tried to compromise the dispute, that cannot be concluded. As a result, on 11.02.2026 at 01.30 p.m., near Rainbow Sticker shop on Karumbalai main road, Madurai, A1 to A12 have brutally assaulted the injured/deceased with their hands and with wooden log and caused injuries all over his body and left. Hence, the complaint and FIR was registered u/s 127(2), 191(2), 191(3), 115(2), 118(1), 109 of BNS. Since the defacto-complainant's husband died on 18.02.2026 without responding to the treatment, the section of offences were altered to 127(2), 191(2), 191(3), 115(2), 118(1) & 103(1) of BNS. Investigation is at early stage and objected to grant bail to the petitioners.

5. Rival contentions are taken into consideration. The alleged offences against the petitioners/accused are u/s 127(2), 191(2), 191(3), 115(2), 118(1) & 103(1) of BNS. As per prosecution, due to previous enmity, A1 to A12 have brutally assaulted the defacto-complainant's husband with their hands and with wooden log, due to which, he died. It is the case of petitioners/accused that the petitioners are friends of A1, on that ground, they are falsely implicated in this case, that the petitioners are in no way connected with the offences alleged, that there is no direct eye witness for the occurrence and that the complaint is lodged based on the hearsay evidence, that the petitioners have not even seen the deceased person

and prayed to consider their remand period. Per contra, the learned Public Prosecutor strongly objected stating that it is a case of murder and that investigation is at very early stage. It is a recent occurrence. The petitioners are in custody only for 27 / 19 days respectively. Considering the above facts, the heinous nature of offences and the strong objections placed on the side of prosecution, it is too early to consider the bail applications and accordingly, the petitions are liable to be dismissed.

In the result, the petitions are dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 16th day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge (i/c),
Madurai

Copy to : The J.M.No.6. Madurai.