

TNMD010018772026



In the Court of the Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Present : **Thiru. S. SHUNMUGAVEL B.A., B.L.,**
(II Additional District Judge (CBI Cases) , Madurai)

Principal Sessions Judge (i/c), Madurai.

(Authorised U/s.8(8) of BNSS)

Monday, this the 16th day of March, 2026.

CrI.M.P.No.1164/2026

CNR No.TNMD010018772026

1. Mayan (Mandaiyan), S/o. Murugan.

2. Amarnath, S/o.Thangam.

3. Gobi @ Gobinath, S/o. Thangam.

... Petitioners/Accused.

Vs

State through the Inspector of Police,

Uthappanaickanoor P.S. in Cr.No.22/2026

... Respondent/Complainant.

This e-petition coming on today for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.M.Kubendiran, Advocate for the petitioners and of Public Prosecutor for the state, this court passed the following:

Order

1. Anticipatory Bail application u/s 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS).

2. Heard both.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners/A1, A6 and A7 would submit that the respondent police has registered a case against the petitioners and others in Cr.No.22/2026 for the offences u/s. 191(2), 191(3), 329(4), 296(b), 118(1), 324(4) and 351(3) of BNS. The alleged occurrence is said to have taken place on 17.02.2026. The petitioners have not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Due to previous enmity, the defacto-complainant has given false complaint against the petitioner. Injured discharged from the hospital. Already co-accused/A2 and A3 were granted anticipatory bail as per order of this Court in Cr.M.P.No.979/2026 dated: 04.03.2026. Investigation is almost over. The petitioners have not filed similar petition before the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court or any other

court. The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of police. The petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this Court and prayed to allow the petitions.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that totally there are 9 named accused and others involved in this case. The petitioners are arrayed as A1, A6 and A7. As per the case of the prosecution, due to previous enmity, on 17.02.2026 at 9.30 p.m., all the accused persons trespassed into the defacto-complainant's house with weapons and asked about the house of Rajesh. Since the defacto-complainant disclose the address of Rajesh, A1 to A6 and A8 assaulted him with knife all over his body, A8 and A9 assaulted the defacto-complainant's father with iron rod, all the accused broken the front side mirror of the house and criminally intimidated them. Value of damage is Rs.5000/-. Hence, the complaint. Injured discharged from the hospital on 23.02.2026. Investigation is pending and objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Rival contentions are taken into consideration. The alleged offences against the petitioner are U/s. 191(2), 191(3), 329(4), 296(b), 118(1), 324(4) and 351(3) of BNS. The alleged occurrence is said to have taken place on 17.02.2026. Injured discharged from the hospital on 23.02.2026. The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that value of damage is Rs.5000/-. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that already co-accused/ A2 and A3 were granted anticipatory bail by this Court, on deposit of Rs.2,500/- each, as per order in Cr.M.P.No.979/2026 dated: 04.03.2026. Material part of investigation has been completed. Considering the above said facts, the discharge of injured and the facts and circumstances of this case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners on conditions.

In the result in the event of arrest or on their surrendering before the Court concerned the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail and on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No.II, Usilampatti subject to the following conditions:-

(i) The petitioners shall surrender before the Court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.

(ii) The petitioners shall appear and sign before the Inspector of Police, respondent police station **daily at 10.00 a.m. until further orders.**

(iii) The petitioners shall co-operate with the investigation and they shall not threaten the witnesses. They shall not induce witnesses and they shall not cause hindrance to the pending investigation.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation officer is within his discretion to approach the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned for cancellation of bail as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **Shaji/Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 16th day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge (i/c),
Madurai

Copy to

1. The J.M.No.2. Usilampatti.
2. The Inspector of Police, Uthappanaickanoor P.S.
3. The Petitioners through their counsels.