

TNMD010018752026



In the Court of the Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Present : **Thiru. S. SHUNMUGAVEL B.A., B.L.,**
(II Additional District Judge (CBI Cases) , Madurai)

Principal Sessions Judge (i/c), Madurai.

(Authorised U/s.8(8) of BNSS)

Monday, this the 16th day of March, 2026.

CrI.M.P.No.1162/2026

CNR No.TNMD010018752026

D.Sidhu, S/o.Dharmaraj

... Petitioner/Accused.

Vs

State through the Inspector of Police,

Thallakulam P.S. in Cr.No.120/2026

... Respondent/Complainant.

This e-petition coming on today for hearing before me in the presence of Ms.J.Vasanthi, Advocate for the petitioner and of Public Prosecutor for the state, this court passed the following:

Order

1. Anticipatory Bail application u/s 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS).

2. Heard both.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner/A3 would submit that the respondent police has registered a case against the petitioner and others in Cr.No.120/2026 for the offences u/s. 191(2), 296(b), 115(2), 351(2) and 49 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023. The alleged occurrence is said to have taken place on 05.02.2026. The petitioner is innocent and she has not committed any offence as alleged. The defacto-complainant and the petitioners are close relatives. There enmity existed between them in the matter of property dispute. In fact, during the occurrence petitioner sustained severe injuries and has taken treatment for 4 days as inpatient in GRH., Madurai. The defacto-complainant sustained only simple injuries. Counter case is also pending. Injured discharged from the hospital. Already co-accused/A2 and A4 were granted anticipatory bail by this Court, as per

order in Cr.M.P.No.1039/2026 dated: 07.03.2026. Investigation is almost over. The petitioner has not filed similar petition before the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court or any other court. The petitioner apprehends arrest at the hands of police. The petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this Court and prayed to allow the petition.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that totally there are 5 accused persons in this case and the petitioner is A3. As per the case of the prosecution, the defacto-complainant and the accused persons are relatives. Due to property dispute, on 05.02.2026 at 9.00 p.m., all the accused persons abused the defacto-complainant in filthy words, A3 assaulted him with wooden log, all other accused assaulted him by hands and also criminally intimidated him. Hence, the case. Injured discharged from the hospital. Investigation is pending and objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Rival contentions are taken into consideration. The alleged offences against the petitioner are U/s.191(2), 296(b), 115(2), 351(2) and 49 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023. The alleged occurrence is said to have taken place on 05.02.2026. Injured discharged from the hospital. No bad antecedent is reported against the petitioner. Substantial part of investigation has been completed. Already co-accused/A2 and A4 were granted anticipatory bail by this Court, as per order in Cr.M.P.No.1039/2026 dated: 07.03.2026. Considering all these aspects, the nature of offences, the discharge of injured and the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner on conditions.

In the result in the event of arrest or on her surrendering before the Court concerned the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on her executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No.II, Madurai subject to the following conditions:-

(i) The petitioner shall surrender before the Court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.

(ii) After release the petitioner shall appear and sign before the Inspector of police, respondent police station **daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 15 days.**

(iii) The petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation and she shall not threaten the witnesses. She shall not induce witnesses and she shall not cause hindrance to the pending investigation.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation officer is within his discretion to approach the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned for cancellation of bail as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **Shaji/Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 16th day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge (i/c),
Madurai

Copy to

1. The J.M.No.2. Madurai.
2. The Inspector of Police, Thallakulam P.S.
3. The Petitioner through his counsel.