

TNMD010018072026 TNMD010019302026



In the Court of the Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Present : **Thiru. S. SHUNMUGAVEL B.A., B.L.,**
 (II Additional District Judge (CBI Cases) , Madurai)
 Principal Sessions Judge (i/c), Madurai.
 (Authorised U/s.8(8) of BNSS)

Tuesday, this the 17th day of March, 2026.

CrI.M.P.No.1112/2026 & 1189/2026.

CNR No.TNMD010018072026 TNMD010019302026

Saravanan @ Thangapandiyan, S/o.Srirangan

... Petitioner/Accused
 in CrI.M.P.No.1112/2026.

Karthik @ Suryaprabu, S/o.Srirangan

... Petitioner/Accused
 in CrI.M.P.No.1189/2026.

Vs

State through the Inspector of Police,
 Peraiyur P.S. in Cr.No.36/2026

... Respondent/Complainant
 in both e-petitions.

These e-petitions coming on today for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.K.Gnanavel, Advocate for the petitioner in CrI.M.P.No.1112/2026, Ms.P.Nagarani, Advocate for the petitioner in CrI.M.P.No.1189/2026, and of Public Prosecutor for the state, this court passed the following:

Common Order

1. Anticipatory Bail applications u/s 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS).

2. Heard both sides.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners/A1 & A2 in Cr.M.P.Nos.1112 & 1189/2026 would submit that the respondent police registered a case against the petitioners in Cr.No.36/2026 for the offences u/s 126(2), 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS. Due to previous enmity, the present false complaint has been lodged. The complaint was received from the defacto-complainant, while he was in his house, for which, there is no explanation. Nobody was injured. The petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offences as alleged. The petitioners have not filed similar petition before the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court

or any other court. The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of police and they are ready to abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this court and prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that totally there are 2 accused in this case, who are the petitioners herein. As per the case of the prosecution, due to previous enmity, A1 and A2 have wrongfully restrained the defacto-complainant, abused him in vulgar words and assaulted him brutally with knife and also criminally intimidated him with dire consequences. Hence, the case. Injured discharged from hospital voluntarily. Investigation is pending and objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Rival contentions are taken into consideration. The alleged offences against the petitioners /accused are u/s 126(2), 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS. The occurrence is said to have taken place on 28.02.2026. It seems that injured discharged from hospital voluntarily and when he was in his house, the respondent police received a complaint from the defacto-complainant on 01.03.2026 and registered the case. No previous case is reported against the petitioners. Considering all these aspects, the discharge of injured, the nature of offences and punishment for the same and the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners on conditions.

In the result, in the event of arrest or on their surrendering before the Court concerned the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate, Peraiyur subject to the following conditions:-

(i) The petitioners shall surrender before the Court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.

(ii) The petitioners shall appear and sign before the Inspector of police, respondent police station **daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 30 days.**

(iii) The petitioners shall co-operate with the investigation and they shall not threaten the witnesses. They shall not induce witnesses and they shall not cause hindrance to the pending investigation.

(iv) If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation officer is within his discretion to approach the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned for cancellation of bail as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **Shaji/Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 17th day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge (i/c),
Madurai

Copy to

1. The J.M.Peraiyur
2. The Inspector of Police, Peraiyur P.S.
3. The Petitioners through their counsels.