

TNMD010017952026



In the Court of the Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Present : Thiru. S. SIVAKADATCHAM, B.Sc., M.L.,  
Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Monday, this the 6<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2026.

CrI.M.P.No.1081/2026

CNR No.TNMD010017952026

Mathana Meeran, S/o.Pitchai Kani

... Petitioner/Accused.

Vs

State through the Inspector of Police,

Anna Nagar P.S. in Cr.No.624/2024

... Respondent/Complainant.

This petition coming on today for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.V.A.Dhana Aravindha Balaji, Advocate for the petitioner and of Public Prosecutor for the State, this court passed the following:

**Order**

1. Bail application u/s 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS).

2. Heard both sides.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would submit that the respondent police has registered a case in Crime No.624/2024 for the offences u/s 406 & 420 of IPC against the petitioner. The petitioner was enlarged on bail as per order of this court in Cr.M.P.No.564/2025 dated 05.02.2025 on conditions. The respondent police arrested the petitioner on NBW and remanded to judicial custody on 13.01.2026 and is in custody for the past 84 days. The petitioner is ready to deposit the amount as directed by this court, during the trial proceedings and not at this stage. Further custodial interrogation of petitioner is not necessary. The petitioner has not filed similar petition before the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court or any other court. The petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this Court and prayed to allow the petition.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that it is a case of single accused, who is the petitioner herein. As per the case of the prosecution, the petitioner cheated the defacto-complainant to an extent of Rs.10 Lacs on the pretext of arranging job for the defacto-complainant in abroad. This court granted bail to the petitioner as per order in Cr.M.P.No.564/2025 dated 05.02.2025 on conditions. Since the petitioner has not complied with the conditions, the defacto-complainant filed petition for cancellation of bail in Cr.M.P.No.2255/2025, which was allowed on 15.05.2025 and NBW was issued against the petitioner, which was executed on 13.01.2026. Investigation is completed and Final Report was filed. However, raised objections to allow the petition.

5. Rival contentions are taken into consideration. The alleged offences against the petitioner/accused are u/s 406 & 420 of IPC. On perusal of available materials on record, it would show that the petitioner was already granted bail as per order of this court in Cr.M.P.No.564/2025 dated 05.02.2025 on conditions to deposit a sum of Rs.10,00,000/- (Rupees Ten Lacs Only) before the Judicial Magistrate No.VI, Madurai to the credit of Crime No.624/2024 of Anna Nagar P.S. within a month from the date of order. It seems that the petitioner was enlarged on bail, but he has not deposited the amount as directed. Hence, the defacto-complainant filed a petition for cancellation of bail in Cr.M.P.No.2255/2025, which was allowed on 15.05.2025 and NBW was issued against the petitioner on the same day, which was executed on 13.01.2026. It is contended on the side of petitioner that the petitioner could not mobilize the amount now, he is ready to deposit the amount during the trial proceedings, but not at this stage. The petitioner is in custody for 84 days. Investigation is completed and charge sheet is also filed. However, considering the duress period of petitioner and the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner on conditions.

In the result, the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for Rs.10,000/- along with two sureties (one of them shall be blood related surety) for a like sum each to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No.VI, Madurai subject to the following conditions:-

(i) The petitioner shall appear before court concerned on receipt of summons and the petitioner shall co-operate for the quick committal of the case and he shall not threaten the witnesses.

(ii) If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is within his discretion to approach the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate, concerned for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **P.K.Shaji/Vs/State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W.5560.**

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 6<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,  
Madurai

**Copy to**

1. The J.M.No.6. Madurai.
2. The Inspector of Police, Anna Nagar P.S.
3. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Madurai.
4. The Petitioner through his counsel.