

TNMD010016702026



In the Court of the Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Present : Thiru. S. SIVAKADATCHAM, B.Sc., M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Saturday, this the 7th day of March, 2026.

CrI.M.P.No. 1043/2026

CNR No.TNMD010016702026

1. Duraipandian S/o.Srirangan
2. Mugesh @ Kambatharasan, S/o.Ramar ... Petitioners/Accused.

Vs

State through the Inspector of Police,

Sedapatti P.S. in Cr.No. 23/2023

... Respondent/Complainant.

This e-petition coming on today for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.A.Nishathan, Advocate for the petitioners and of Public Prosecutor for the State, this court passed the following:

Order

1. Anticipatory bail application u/s 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023.

2. Heard both.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners/A1 and A2 would submit that the respondent police has registered a case against the petitioners in Cr.No.23/2026 for the offence u/s. 3 of TNPPDL Act. The alleged occurrence is said to have taken place on 22.02.2026. But, F.I.R. was registered on 02.03.2026. The defacto-complainant is the father of the 1st petitioner. There dispute between them in the matter of money transaction. Due to that, the defacto-complainant attacked the 1st petitioner and caused injuries. For which, the 1st petitioner has given complaint and F.I.R. registered in Cr.No.22/2026 on 01.03.2026. Thereafter, the defacto-complainant has given false complaint against the petitioner. On 08.03.2026, ear piercing ceremony is to be held in the 1st petitioner's house. The petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged. Investigation is

almost over. The petitioners have not filed similar petition before the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court or any other court. The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of police and they are ready to abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this court and prayed to allow the petition. He filed copy of invitation of the function.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that totally there are 2 accused persons in this case, who are the petitioners herein. As per the case of the prosecution, the defacto-complainant is the father of A1. Due to family dispute, already on 07.01.2026, A1 damaged the door of the defacto-complainant's house, EB box and car glasses. Hence, the defacto-complainant parked his car near his relative's house. Again on 22.02.2026, at night both accused damaged the tyres of the defacto-complainant's car with sharp edged weapon, which was recorded in the nearby CCTV camera. Hence, the case. Investigation is pending and objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Rival contentions are taken into consideration. The alleged offences against the petitioner is U/s. 3 of TNPPDL Act. The alleged occurrence is said to have taken place on 22.02.2026. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that there dispute between the 1st petitioner and the defacto-complainant in the matter of money transaction, due to that, the defacto-complainant attacked the 1st petitioner and caused injuries, for which, the 1st petitioner has given complaint and F.I.R. registered in Cr.No.22/2026 on 01.03.2026. Further, it is contended that on 08.03.2026, ear piercing ceremony is to be held in the 1st petitioner's house and prayed to allow the petition. The learned Public Prosecutor raised strong objections stating that both accused damaged the tyres of the defacto-complainant's car with sharp edged weapon. It is stated that the defacto-complainant and the 1st petitioner are father and son. Investigation is at earlier stage. As per prosecution, custodial interrogation of the petitioners is very much essential. Considering all these aspects, the nature of offences, the pending stage of

investigation, strong objections raised on the side of prosecution and the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners at this stage, accordingly, the petition is liable to be dismissed.

In the result, the petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 7th day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Madurai

Copy to : The J.M. Peraiyur.