

TNMD010016682026



In the Court of the Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Present : Thiru. S. SIVAKADATCHAM, B.Sc., M.L.,  
Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Saturday, this the 7<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

CrI.M.P.No. 1042/2026

CNR No.TNMD010016682026

1. Muthulakshmi, W/o.Jeyaraj  
2. Banupriya, D/o.Jeyaraj ... Petitioners/Accused.

Vs

State through the Inspector of Police,  
Usilampatti Taluk P.S. in Cr.No.202/2025 ... Respondent/Complainant.

This e-petition coming on today for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.B.Sureshkumar , Advocate for the petitioners and of Public Prosecutor for the State, this court passed the following:

**Order**

1. Anticipatory bail application u/s 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023.

2. Heard both.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners/A2 and A3 submit that the respondent police has registered a case against the petitioners and others in Cr.No.202/2025 for the offences u/s. 296(b), 351(2), 326(g) and 49 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023. The alleged occurrence is said to have taken place on 10.10.2025. But, F.I.R. was registered on 12.10.2025. The petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged. Due to matrimonial dispute, the defacto-complainant lodged false complaint against the petitioners. Already, A1 was granted bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in CrI.O.P. (MD) No.19007/2025 dated: 31.10.2025. Custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not necessary. Investigation is almost over. The petitioners have not filed similar petition before the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court or any other court. The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of police and they are

ready to abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this court and prayed to allow the petition.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that totally there are 3 named accused and one unnamed accused involved in this case and the petitioners are A2 and A3. As per the case of the prosecution, A1 and the defacto-complainant's sister are husband and wife. A2 and A3 are mother and sister of A1. Due to matrimonial dispute, on 09.10.2025, A1 along with other accused persons picked up a quarrel with the defacto-complainant, abused him in filthy words and threatened him and his family members with dire consequences. On 10.10.2025 at 3.00 a.m., A1 and one another person set fire on the bike of the defacto-complainant. The petitioners/A2 and A3 instigated the other accused to commit the offence. Hence, the case. Value of damage is Rs.2 Lacs. A1 was granted bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court. Investigation is pending and objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Rival contentions are taken into consideration. The alleged offences against the petitioner are U/s. 296(b), 351(2), 326(g) and 49 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023. The alleged occurrence is said to have taken place on 10.10.2025. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that there is no specific allegations as against the petitioners, A1 was granted bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court. The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioners are mother and sister of A1, they have instigated A1 to commit the offence. Already, A1 was granted bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in CrI.O.P.(MD) No.19007/2025 dated: 31.10.2025. The alleged occurrence is said to have taken place due to matrimonial dispute. Substantial part of investigation ought to have been completed by this time. Considering all these aspects, the limited overt act attributed against the petitioners, the relationship between the parties and the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners on conditions.

In the result in the event of arrest or on their surrendering before the Court concerned the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No.I, Usilampatti subject to the following conditions:-

(i) The petitioners shall surrender before the Court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.

(ii) The petitioners shall appear and sign before the Inspector of police, respondent police station **daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 30 days.**

(iii) The petitioners shall co-operate with the investigation and they shall not threaten the witnesses. They shall not induce witnesses and they shall not cause hindrance to the pending investigation.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation officer is within his discretion to approach the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned for cancellation of bail as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **Shaji/Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 7<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,  
Madurai

**Copy to**

1. The J.M.No.1, Usilampatti.
2. The Inspector of Police, Usilampatti Taluk P.S.
3. The Petitioners through their counsels.