



In the Court of the Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Present : Thiru. S. SIVAKADATCHAM, B.Sc., M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Saturday, this the 7<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

CrI.M.P.No.1041/2026

CNR No.TNMD010016672026

Gokula Kannan, S/o.Mookan

... Petitioner/Accused.

Vs

State through the Inspector of Police,

South Gate P.S. in Cr.No.32/2026

... Respondent/Complainant.

This e-petition coming on today for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.K.Ananth, Advocate for the petitioner and of Public Prosecutor for the State, this court passed the following:

**Order**

1. Anticipatory Bail application u/s 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS).

2. Heard both.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner/A1 would submit that the respondent police has registered a case against the petitioner and another in Cr.No.32/2026 for the offences u/s. 78(2), 351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023 and Sec. 3(1) of TNPPDL Act. The alleged occurrence is said to have taken place on 28.01.2026. The petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. Due to love affairs, the defacto-complainant has given false complaint against the petitioner. No such occurrence happened as alleged by the prosecution. Investigation is almost over. The petitioner has not filed similar petition before the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court or any other court. The petitioner apprehends arrest at the hands of police. The petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this Court and prayed to allow the petition.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that totally there are 2 accused involved in this case and the petitioner is A2. As per the case of the prosecution,

the defacto-complainant's brother's daughter refused the love proposal of A1. Hence, A1 threatened her over phone. Due to that motive, on 27.01.2026 at 11.45 p.m., both accused came to the defacto-complainant's house and damaged the two wheeler, which was used by the defacto-complainant's brother's daughter. Value of damage is Rs.13,000/-. Hence, the complaint. Investigation is pending and strongly objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Rival contentions are taken into consideration. The alleged offences against the petitioner are U/s. 78(2), 351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023 and Sec. 3(1) of TNPPDL. The alleged occurrence is said to have taken place on 27.01.026. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that due to love affairs, the defacto-complainant has given false complaint against the petitioner and no such occurrence happened as alleged by the prosecution. The learned Public Prosecutor raised strong objections stating that both accused damaged the two wheeler, which was used by the defacto-complainant's brother's daughter. As per prosecution, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is very much essential. Investigation is at earlier stage. Considering all these aspects, the nature of offences and strong objections raised on the side of prosecution, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner at this stage, accordingly, the petition is liable to be dismissed.

In the result, the petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 7<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,  
Madurai

**Copy to :** The J.M.No.4, Madurai.