



In the Court of the Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Present : Thiru. S. SIVAKADATCHAM, B.Sc., M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Thursday, this the 12<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

CrI.M.P.No.1018/2026

CNR No.TNMD010016442026

Tamilarasan, S/o.Manikkam

... Petitioner/Accused.

Vs

State through the Inspector of Police,

Melur P.S. in Cr.No.72/2026

... Respondent/Complainant.

This petition coming on today for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.P.Manokaran, Advocate for the petitioner and of Public Prosecutor for the State, this court passed the following:

**Order**

1. Bail application u/s 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS).

2. Heard both sides.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would submit that the respondent police has registered a case against the petitioner and others in Crime No.72/2026 for the offence u/s 103(1) of BNS. The petitioner was arrested by the respondent police and remanded to judicial custody on 09.02.2026 and is in custody for the past 32 days. The petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offences as alleged. The petitioner's name is not mentioned in the FIR. The petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence and he is not aware of the motive between the deceased and co-accused. The petitioner has not filed similar petition before the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court or any other court. The petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this Court and prayed to allow the petition.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has submitted that totally there are 7 accused persons in this case and the petitioner is A5. As per the case of the

prosecution, the defacto-complainant is the wife of deceased Rajkumar. There existed enmity between the accused persons and the defacto-complainant and complaints are pending before police station. While so, on 07.02.2026 at 08.00 a.m., on receipt of phone call from one viz. Muthupandi, the defacto-complainant went to the place of occurrence and found her husband dead with many injuries all over his body. The defacto-complainant suspects that due to previous enmity, A1 to A7 might have committed murder of the deceased. Hence, the case. The petitioner is already detained under Goondas Act. Investigation is at early stage and objected to grant bail to the petitioner and produced copy of Detention Order for perusal.

5. Rival contentions are taken into consideration. The alleged offence against the petitioner/accused is u/s 103(1) of BNS. The occurrence is said to have taken place on 07.02.2026. As per prosecution, due to previous enmity, A1 to A7 have murdered the defacto-complainant's husband. The petitioner is in custody only for 32 days. It is a case of brutal murder. Investigation is preliminary stage. The petitioner is already detained under Goondas Act as per order dated 10.03.2026. Considering the above facts and the gravity of offence, this court holds that the petitioner deserves no consideration for bail at this stage, accordingly, the petition is to be dismissed.

In the result, the petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 12<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,  
Madurai

**Copy to :** The J.M.Melur.