

TNMD010015142026



In the Court of the Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Present : Thiru. S. SIVAKADATCHAM, B.Sc., M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Saturday, this the 7<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

CrI.M.P.No.966/2026

CNR No.TNMD010015142026

1. Ayyanar, S/o.Sethu
  2. Arunkumar, S/o.Sethu
  3. Vishva, S/o.Chinnaiya
  4. Sarath, S/o.Chinnaiya
  5. Chinnaiya, S/o.Periya Pannaiyan
- ... Petitioners/Accused.

Vs

State through the Inspector of Police,

Keelavalavu P.S. in Cr.No.44/2026

... Respondent/Complainant.

This e-petition coming on today for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.C.Manickam, Advocate for the petitioners and of Public Prosecutor for the State, this court passed the following:

**Order**

1. Anticipatory Bail application u/s 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS).

2. Heard both sides.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners/accused would submit that the respondent police registered a case against the petitioners and others in Cr.No.44/2026 for the offences u/s 191(2), 191(3), 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 303(2) & 351(3) of BNS and 4 of TNPWH Act. The petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offences as alleged. Due to previous enmity, the present false complaint has been lodged. Injured discharged from hospital. The petitioners have no previous case. A3 and A4 were released on station bail. Counter case is also pending between the parties. The petitioners have not filed similar petition before the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court or any other court. The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of police and they are ready to

abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this court and prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that totally there are 7 named accused and others in this case and the petitioners are A1, A2, A5, A6 and A7. As per the case of the prosecution, on 17.02.2026 at 07.00 p.m., when the defacto-complainant and her husband were coming near their house at Muthampatti, A1 to A7 and some others armed with deadly weapons have wrongfully restrained them, abused them in vulgar words, kicked them and assaulted them brutally with wooden log and Aruval. A1 pulled the saree of the defacto-complainant and scolded her in abusive words. They criminally intimidated them with dire consequences and left. In this occurrence, A1 has snatched the gold Thali and ear stud weighing one sovereign from the defacto-complainant. Hence, the complaint. Injured discharged from hospital on 05.03.2026. Stolen properties are not yet recovered. Investigation is pending and objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Rival contentions are taken into consideration. The alleged offences against the petitioners /accused are u/s 191(2), 191(3), 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 303(2) & 351(3) of BNS and 4 of TNPWH Act. Injured discharged from hospital on 05.03.2026. Case properties are not yet recovered. However, on perusal of FIR, it appears that A1, A2 and A5 armed with wooden logs and Aruval assaulted the defacto-complainant and her husband and caused injuries to them. The petitioners herein are A1, A2, A5, A6 and A7. Considering the serious allegations levelled against A1, A2 and A5, the nature of offences and the objections placed on the side of prosecution, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to A1, A2 and A5 at this stage. At the same time, in view of the limited overt act attributed against A6 and A7, the discharge of injured and the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to A6 and A7 alone on conditions.

In the result, in the event of arrest or on their surrendering before the Court concerned the petitioners No.4 and 5 are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail

on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate, Melur subject to the following conditions:-

(i) The petitioners No.4 and 5 shall surrender before the Court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.

(ii) The petitioners No.4 and 5 shall appear and sign before the Inspector of police, respondent police station **daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 15 days.**

(ii) The petitioners shall co-operate with the investigation and they shall not threaten the witnesses. They shall not induce witnesses and they shall not cause hindrance to the pending investigation.

(iv) If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation officer is within his discretion to approach the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned for cancellation of bail as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **Shaji/Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

**So far as the petitioners No.1 to 3 are concerned, the petition is dismissed.**

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 7<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,  
Madurai

**Copy to**

1. The J.M.Melur.
2. The Inspector of Police, Keelavalavu P.S.
3. The Petitioners through their counsels.