

TNMD010014642026



In the Court of the Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Present : Thiru. S. SIVAKADATCHAM, B.Sc., M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Saturday, this the 7<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

CrI.M.P.No.964/2026

CNR No.TNMD010014642026

Umadevi, W/o.Kalaiyaran

... Petitioner/Accused.

Vs

State through the Inspector of Police,

Avaniapuram P.S. in Cr.No.78/2026

... Respondent/Complainant.

This e-petition coming on today for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.P.Kumaravel, Advocate for the petitioner and of Public Prosecutor for the State, this court passed the following:

**Order**

1. Anticipatory Bail application u/s 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS).

2. Heard both sides.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would submit that the respondent police has registered a case against the petitioner and others in Cr.No.78/2026 for the offences u/s 296(b), 118(1), 324(2) & 351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023. The petitioner is innocent and she has not committed any offence as alleged. Due to previous enmity, the present false complaint has been lodged. There is no specific overt act against the petitioner. Counter case is pending between the parties. The concerned Judicial Magistrate granted bail to A1 on 04.03.2026. The petitioner has not filed similar petition before the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court or any other court. The petitioner apprehends arrest at the hands of police and he is ready to abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this court and prayed to allow the petition.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that totally there are 3 accused in this case and the petitioner is A3. A1 and A2 are sons of A3. As per the case of the prosecution, there existed enmity between the defacto-complainant and the accused. As a result, on 21.02.2026 at 06.30 a.m., when the defacto-complainant was turning his auto, he damaged the water line, which was questioned by the defacto-complainant's mother and sister, for which, A1 abused them in vulgar words, assaulted the defacto-complainant with Aruval and caused injuries at his head and criminally intimidated them with dire consequences. In support of A1, his brother (A2) and mother (A3) have scolded the defacto-complainant and his family members. Hence, the complaint. Injured discharged from hospital on 25.02.2026. A2 is still absconding. Counter case in Crime No.79/2026 is pending. Investigation is pending and made objections to allow the petition.

5. Rival contentions are taken into consideration. The alleged offences against the petitioner /accused are u/s 296(b), 118(1), 324(2) & 351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023. The occurrence is said to have taken place on 21.02.2026. On perusal of FIR, it appears that motive prevails between the parties and counter case in Crime No.79/2026 is stated to be pending. Injured discharged from hospital on 25.02.2026. On perusal of FIR, it appears that the petitioner/A3 is the mother of A1 and that during the occurrence, she simply scolded the defacto-complainant. It is submitted on the side of petitioner that the concerned Judicial Magistrate granted bail to A1 on 04.03.2026. No previous case is reported against the petitioner. Considering all these aspects, the limited overt act attributed against the petitioner, the discharge of injured, the nature of offences and punishment for the same and the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner on conditions.

In the result, in the event of arrest or on her surrendering before the Court concerned the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on her executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a like sum to

the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No.VI, Madurai subject to the following conditions:-

(i) The petitioner shall surrender before the Court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.

(ii) The petitioner shall appear and sign before the Inspector of police, respondent police station **daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 15 days.**

(iii) The petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation and shall not threaten the witnesses. She shall not induce witnesses and shall not cause hindrance to the pending investigation.

(iv) If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation officer is within his discretion to approach the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned for cancellation of bail as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **Shaji/Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 7<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,  
Madurai

**Copy to**

1. The J.M.No.6. Madurai.
2. The Inspector of Police, Avaniapuram P.S.
3. The Petitioner through his counsel.