

TNMD010013312026



In the Court of the Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Present : Thiru. S. SIVAKADATCHAM, B.Sc., M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Madurai.

Wednesday, this the 18th day of March, 2026.

Crl.M.P.No.849/2026

CNR No.TNMD010013312026

R.Gunasekaran, S/o.Ramalingam

... Petitioner/Accused.

Vs

State through the Inspector of Police,

Anna Nagar P.S. in Cr.No.10/2026

... Respondent/Complainant.

This e-petition coming on today for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.J.Animesh, Advocate for the petitioner and of Public Prosecutor for the State, this court passed the following:

Order

1. Anticipatory bail application u/s 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023.

2. Heard both.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner/A1 would submit that the respondent police has registered a case against the petitioner and another in Cr.No.10/2026 for the offences u/s. 354(A), 354(D) & 420 of IPC. The alleged occurrence is said to have taken place on 24.08.2023. But, F.I.R. was registered on 07.01.2026. Already co-accused/A2 was granted anticipatory bail by this Court, as per order of this Court in Crl.M.P.No.1028/2026 dated 11.03.2026. The petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged. The petitioner has not filed similar petition before the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court or any other court. The petitioner apprehends arrest at the hands of police and he is ready to abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this court and prayed to allow the petition.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that totally there are 2 accused in this case and the petitioner is A1. Based on the complaint forwarded by the concerned Judicial Magistrate, F.I.R. was registered. As per the case of the prosecution, the defacto-complainant is a practicing Advocate. Seven years back, A1 joined the office of the defacto-complainant and assisted in his work. During the course of his service, A1 misbehaved with the clients of the defacto-complainant, collected money from them illegally and committed sexual harassment to the Juniors as well as clients. A1 is already married and has a daughter, but he married A2 and both jointly involved in various fraudulent activities and cheated so many persons. Hence, the complaint. Investigation is pending and objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Rival contentions are taken into consideration. The alleged offences against the petitioner/accused are u/s 354(A), 354(D) and 420 of IPC. It is the case of petitioner/accused that there is no material evidence on the side of prosecution for such allegations against the petitioner and that custodial interrogation of petitioner is not necessary. The learned Public Prosecutor represented that neither the juniors nor the clients of the defacto-complainant are not examined by the respondent police till this date. Under such circumstances, custodial interrogation of petitioner is not necessary. Already co-accused/A2 was granted anticipatory bail by this Court, as per order of this Court in CrI.M.P.No.1028/2026 dated 11.03.2026. No previous case is reported against the petitioner. Considering all these aspects and the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner on conditions.

In the result in the event of arrest or on his surrendering before the Court concerned the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No.VI, Madurai subject to the following conditions:-

(i) The petitioner shall surrender before the Court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.

(ii) After release the petitioner shall appear and sign before the Inspector of police, respondent police station **daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 15 days.**

(iii) The petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation and he shall not threaten the witnesses. He shall not induce witnesses and he shall not cause hindrance to the pending investigation.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation officer is within his discretion to approach the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned for cancellation of bail as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **Shaji/Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.**

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on the 18th day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Madurai

Copy to

1. The J.M.No.6. Madurai.
2. The Inspector of Police, Anna Nagar P.S.
3. The Petitioner through his counsel.