

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT MUNSIF, KULITHALAI

Present : Selvi.A.Yughathymariya, B.Com, L.L.B.(Hons.,)

Additional District Munsif, Kulithalai.

Dated Tuesday, the 29th day of April 2025

I.A No.09/2025 in O.S No. 202/2017

- 1) Shanmugam
- 2) Vijayalakshmi
- 3) Balasubramani
- 4) Thangavelu

.....Petitioners/Defendants

/Vs/

- 1) Raju @ Subramanian
- 2) Thulasi
- 3) Durai Chettiyar (Died)
- 4) Senthilkumar
- 5) Sivanantham
- 6) Thiruvengadam
- 7) Arun @ Arunkumar
- 8) Thapakkiam
- 9) Selvam
- 10) Vengadachalapathy
- 11) Santhi

.....Respondants/Plaintiffs

This petition has come up on 24.04.2025 for final hearing before this court in the presence of Mr.B.Malar Kannan, learned counsel for the Petitioners/Proposed Parties and Mr.R.Krishnasamy, learned counsel for the Respondants No.2, 4 to 9 and the Respondants No.1, 10 and 11 are remained exparte and Respondant No.3 died and upon perusal of material records and having stood over for consideration till this day, this court delivers the following....

ORDER

1. This petition is filed under Order 22 Rule 3 of CPC to implead the Petitioners/Proposed parties as legal heirs of the deceased 1st Plaintiff named Shanmugam in the above suit.

Brief averments of the Petition:-

2. That the 1st Petitioner/Proposed Party is the wife of the deceased Shanmugam who is the 1st Plaintiff in the above suit. That the above suit was filed against the Defendants for the relief of Permanent Injunction and Mandatory Injunction. That when the above suit was pending, on 04.03.2024 the 1st Plaintiff named Shanmugam was died. That the proposed parties No.1 to 3 herein are the only legal heirs of the deceased Shanmugam. The Proposed parties No.2 and 3 are the Sons of the deceased Shanmugam. That except these proposed parties no other legal heirs are there for the deceased Shanmugam. That On or before 02.06.2024, the petition to implead the legal heirs of the deceased Shanmugam ought to have been filed. But due to the ill health condition of the proposed party No.1, the death of the said Shanmugam could not have been immediately intimated to his counsel. That a separate petition has been filed to condone the said delay. That if this petition is not allowed the proposed parties would be put to irreparable loss and hardships and if this petition is allowed, it would no way prejudice the Respondents herein. That the delay is not wanton. Hence this petition is been filed to implead the Petitioners/Proposed parties as legal heirs of the deceased 1st Plaintiff named Shanmugam.

Brief averments of the counter filed by the Respondents No.2, 4 to 9:-

3. That the petition is not sustainable. That the petitioners are not entitled to the relief sought for. That it is not correct that the above proposed parties are the only legal heirs of the deceased Shanmugam. The Petitioners/Proposed parties in order to wantonly drag on the proceedings, have filed this petition to implead the legal heirs in delay. That the reasons stated in the affidavit for the delay happened are not correct. Hence this petition is liable to the dismissed.

4. The Respondents No.1, 10 and 11 are remained exparte and the respondent No.3 died.

Points for determination:-

5. Whether the petitioners are entitled to the relief sought for in this petition?

Evidence:-

6. No oral and documentary evidence were adduced on either sides.

Points:-

7. Heard both sides. Perused records. It is seen clear that the 1st Plaintiff in the above suit named Shanmugam was died on 04.03.2024. The Petitioners/Proposed Parties are the wife and the sons of the deceased Shanmugam. This petition has been filed to implead the Petitioners/Proposed parties as legal heirs of the deceased Shanmugam in the above suit. However, a delay of 139 days was happened in filing this petition. The reasons stated by the Petitioner/Proposed party No.1 for the delay caused is that her health condition was bad, for which she could not be able to contact her counsel. It is also seen that there is no strong objection placed by the respondents herein, as to the reason stated by the proposed parties for the delay caused. Also, the the respondents No.2, 4 to 9 herein have only evasively denied the legal heirship status of these Petitioners/Proposed parties rather making a specific denial. This court is of the view that being the legal heirs of the deceased 1st Plaintiff, the Petitioners/Proposed parties have every right to proceed with the suit on his behalf. This court also feels that if this petition is allowed, it would no way prejudice the respondents herein. Hence, this court is inclined to allow this petition.

Result:-

In result, for the reasons stated above and in the interest of justice, this petition is allowed. Hence, the Petitioners/Proposed Parties No.1 to 3 are hereby impleaded as the legal heirs of the deceased 1st Plaintiff Shanmugam in the above suit.

Dictated to my typist, directly typed by her in the court computer, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court on this 29th day of April 2025.

Sd/-R.யுகாதிமரியா

**Additional District Munsif,
Kulithalai.**

Petitioner side witness and documents - Nil.

Respondents side witness and documents - Nil.

Sd/-R.யுகாதிமரியா

**Additional District Munsif,
Kulithalai.**

Additional District Munsif Court

Kulithalai

I.A No.09/2025 in O.S No. 202/2017

DRAFT / FAIR ORDER

D.D.29.04.2025
