

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SUBORDINATE JUDGE, KARUR**Present : C.Vediyappan, M.A., M.L.,****Principal Sub Judge, Karur.****Monday, the 6th day of April - 2026****O.S.No. 585/2025****(CNR.No. TNKR03-001212-2025)**

R. Singaravel

...Plaintiff

/Vs/

1. V. Suresh

2. L. Matheswaran

3. S. Ganesan

...Defendants

This suit was taken on file on 03.09.2025 and on hearing on 27.03.2026 in the presence of Mr.R. Ramaguru, Advocate for plaintiff and Mr.V. Sivakumar, Advocate for defendants 1 to 3 and upon perusing the materials available on records and in view of the order passed in compromise petition in I.A.No.2/2026 and having stood over for consideration till this day, this court deliver the following;

JUDGMENT

1. The plaintiff filed the suit for preliminary decree for partition by dividing the suit properties into ten equal shares with reference to good and bad nature of soil and to allot three such shares to the plaintiff and to put him in separate possession, in default the plaintiff is entitled to get final decree through court and for costs of the suit.

2. Both side enquiry heard and the records perused. On perusal of the records, it is seen that the plaintiff filed the above suit for partition, separate possession against the defendants in respect of the suit property in the year 2025.

3. On perusal of the records, it is seen that the case was posted for filing of written statement of defendants 1 to 3. At this stage, both parties filed a petition under Order 23 Rule 3 of CPC for recording the compromise between both parties in respect of the suit property in I.A.No.02/2026 and allowed the same on 27.03.2026. The plaintiff examined

himself as PW1 and the defendants 1 to 3 were examined as DW1 to DW3 and no documents were marked on either side.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the both parties submitted that the matter has been settled between both parties in out of court and the terms of compromise stated in I.A.No.2/2026 and has to be recorded in the suit the terms of compromise between both parties stated in I.A.No.02/2026 is treated as part and parcel of the compromise decree. Hence, the suit may be decreed as terms of compromise. The reason stated by both parties are satisfied. Therefore, considering the fact and circumstances of the case, and in view of the order passed in I.A.No.02/2026 and in the interest of justice, this court is decreed the suit as per the terms of compromise petition in I.A.No.02/2026.

In the result, the suit is decreed as per the terms of the compromise petition in I.A. No. 2/2026. The terms of the compromise petition is treated as part and parcel of the compromise decree. Both parties shall bear their own costs.

Pronounced by me in open court, on this the 6th day of April - 2026.

**PRINCIPAL SUBORDINATE JUDGE,
KARUR.**

Plaintiff side Witness:-

PW1	Thiru. Singaravel – (Plaintiff)
-----	----------------------------------

Defendants' side Witnesses:-

DW1	Thiru.V. Suresh - (1st Defendant)
DW2	Thiru.L. Matheswaran - (2nd Defendant)
DW3	Thiru.S. Ganesan - (3rd Defendant)

Plaintiff and Defendants' side Documents:- NIL

**PRINCIPAL SUBORDINATE JUDGE,
KARUR.**