

IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, KARUR  
PRESENT:-THIRU.K.H. Elavazhagan, B.Sc., M.L.,  
District & Sessions Judge.

Monday, the 09<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026

**SESSIONS CASE No.40/2025**

**[CNR.NO.TNKR01-000871-2025]**

(P.R.C.No. 4/2025 on the file of Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kulithalai)  
(Kulithalai Police Station Crime No.178/2018)

-----

<b>Name of the Complainant</b>	State, Represented by the Inspector of Police, Kulithalai Police Station, Karur District.
<b>Represented by</b>	Thiru. N. Senthilkumar, Public Prosecutor .
<b>Accused</b>	1. Ranjith @ Kamaraj, S/o. Palanisamy, 2. Prabaharan, S/o. Raju, 3. Riyaskhan, S/o. John Batcha, 4. Rajesh, S/o. Manickam, 5. Karuppannan, S/o. Duraisamy, 6. <b>Shanmugam, (Died)</b> <b>S/o. Vembu,</b> 7. Rajendran, S/o. Palaniyandi, 8. <b>Palaniyappan, (Died)</b> <b>S/o. Duraisamy,</b> 9. Sakthivel, S/o. Muthusamy, 10. Duraisamy, S/o. Rajalingam, 11. Mahendran, S/o. Palaniyappan, 12. Thiyagarajan, S/o. Thangaiyan, 13. Manivel, S/o. Andiyappan, 14. Murugesan, S/o. Kanniyappan,

15. **Muthusamy, (Died)**  
S/o. Murugan,
16. **Paramasivam, (Died)**  
S/o. Karuppannan,
17. Velmurugan,  
S/o. Mahamuni,
18. Maheshwaran,  
S/o. Vembadi,
19. Ponnadi,  
S/o. Balasubramanian,
20. Rajkumar,  
S/o. Periyasamy,
21. Vivekanandan,  
S/o. Mariyappan,
22. Sekar,  
S/o. Annavi,
23. Vembadi,  
S/o. Sangapillai,
24. Gurunathan,  
S/o. Palaniyandi,
25. Ponnampalam,  
S/o. Veeramalai,
26. **Selvarasu, (Died)**  
S/o. Dharamalingam,
27. Ramesh,  
S/o. Durai,
28. Rathinagiri,  
S/o. Balasubramanian,
29. Tamilselvan,  
S/o. Lakshmanan,
30. Banu,  
W/o. Sankar,
31. Thilagavathi,  
W/o. Veeramalai,
32. Rajathi,  
W/o. Raju,
33. Rani,  
W/o. Chinnapaiyan,
34. **Sirumbayi, (Died)**  
W/o. Pudhuraja,
35. Mahalingam,  
S/o. Durairaj,
36. Sankar,

	<p>S/o. Raju,  <b>37. Balu @ Balasubramanian, (Died)</b>  <b>S/o. Vadivel,</b>  38. Balasubramanian,  S/o. Maruthaiveeran,  39. Parameshwaran,  S/o. Durairaj,  40. Kamaraj @ Senthilkumar,  S/o. Subramani  41. Rajeshwari,  W/o. Selvam.  42. Kathirvel,  S/o. Mani  <b><u>All the accused's Address:</u></b>  Elunutrumangalam, Kulithalai Taluk,  Karur District.  <b>(The charges against the accused No.6, 15, 16, 26,  34 and 37 are abated.)</b></p>
<b>Represented by</b>	Thiru. A.Premanand, for Accused No.1 to 5, 7, 9 to 11, 13, 14, 17 to 25, 27 to 33, 35, 36, 38 to 42. Thiru. S.Kalidas, for Accused No.12
<b>Period of judicial custody already undergone by the accused, if any.</b>	A1 to A10 – 06.04.2018 to 21.04.2018 A1 to A10 – 24.04.2018 to 27.04.2018
<b>Charge-sheeted for the offences punishable under Sections</b>	U/s.147, 148, 294(b), 307 of IPC r/w 34 IPC.
<b>Charges framed by the Court against the accused</b>	Charge framed against the Accused 1 to 42. Further the array of the appeared accused were rearranged as A1 to A35 : 1 <sup>st</sup> charge against the accused No.1 to 35 for the offence u/s. 147 of IPC. 2 <sup>nd</sup> charge against the accused No. 1 to 35 for the offence u/s. 148 of IPC. 3 <sup>rd</sup> charge against the accused No. 1 to 35 for the offence u/s. 294(b) of IPC. 4 <sup>th</sup> charge against the accused No. 1 to 35 for the offence u/s. 307 of IPC.
<b>Plea of the accused while framing charges</b>	Pleaded not guilty to the charges
<b>Findings of the Court</b>	The accused No. 1 to 35 are found not guilty for

<b>Result of the case and sentence awarded, if any</b>	the offence u/s. 147, 148, 294(b), 307 of IPC.
--	--

**FORM B**

Date of Offence	05.04.2018
Date of FIR	06.04.2018
Date of Charge Sheet	29.10.2018
Date of framing of Charges	02.08.2025
Date of Commencement of Evidence	25.02.2026
Date of the Judgment	09.03.2026
Date of the Sentencing Order, if any	09.03.2026

**ACCUSED DETAILS**

<b>Name of the Accused</b>	A1. Ranjith @ Kamaraj, A2. Prabakaran, A3. Riyaskhan, A4. Rajesh, A5. Karuppannan, A6. Rajendran, A7. Sakthivel, A8. Duraisamy, A9. Mahendran, A10. Thiyagarajan, A11. Manivel, A12. Murugesan, A13. Velmurugan, A14. Maheshwaran, A15. Ponnadi, A16. Rajkumar, A17. Vivekanandan, A18. Sekar, A19. Vembadi, A20. Gurunathan, A21. Ponnampalam, A22. Ramesh, A23. Rathinagiri, A24. Tamilselvan, A25. Banu,
----------------------------	---

	A26. Thilagavathi, A27. Rajathi, A28. Rani, A29. Mahalingam, A30. Sankar, A31. Balasubramanian, A32. Parameshwaran, A33. Kamaraj @ Senthilkumar, A34. Rajeshwari, A35. Kathirvel,
<b>Date of Arrest</b>	A1 to A10 – 06.04.2018 A1 to A10 – 24.04.2018 A11 to A42 - Anticipatory bail
<b>Date of Release on bail</b>	A1 to A10 – 21.04.2018 A1 to A10 – 27.04.2018
<b>Offence charged with</b>	<b>Charge against the accused no. 1 to 35 U/s.147, 148, 294(b), 307 of IPC.</b>
<b>Whether Acquitted or Convicted</b>	Acquitted
<b>Sentence imposed</b>	(i) The accused 1 to 35 are acquitted from all the charges Under section 147, 148, 294(b) and 307 of IPC as per under section 235(1) CRPC. (ii) The bail bond executed by all the accused shall stand cancelled.
<b>Period of Detention under gone during trial for purpose of Sec.428 of CRPC</b>	A1 to A10 – 06.04.2018 to 21.04.2018 A1 to A10 – 24.04.2018 to 27.04.2018

This Sessions Case was coming on 07.03.2026 for final hearing before me in the presence of Thiru. N. Senthilkumar, Public Prosecutor for the State and of Thiru. A.Premanand, Advocate for the Accused No. 1 to 11, 13 to 42, and of Thiru. S.Kalidas, Advocate for the Accused No. 12 after hearing the arguments of both sides and also upon perusing the entire case records and having stood over for consideration till this day, this court delivered the following.

## **JUDGMENT**

### **1. SHORT AVERMENTS ALLEGED IN THE FINAL REPORT AGAINST THE ACCUSED AS FOLLOWS:**

The Inspector of Police, Kultihalai Police Station filed a final report alleging that a complaint was given by Manoharan son of Mathiyalzhana. The complainant PW-1 and the witnesses belong to the Hindu Muthuraja community, while all the accused belong to the Hindu Pallar community. Both parties are residents of Kulithalai, Ezhunootrumangalam and nearby Kuttapatti village. Due to disputes arising in connection with the Pidari Amman Temple festival conducted in the village, caste-based tension had developed between members of the Muthuraja and Pallar communities prior to the date of occurrence.

On 05.04.2018 at about 08.30 p.m., at Ezhunootrumangalam near Othaiavel area, when complainant Manoharan had gone to see the deity, the 1st accused Ranjith along with 42 other accused unlawfully assembled, armed with deadly weapons such as aruvals and wooden logs, with the common object of committing violence. The 1st accused abused complainant Manoharan in filthy and caste-related language and assaulted him on the face with a wooden log, causing simple injuries. The other accused, sharing the common object, also abused and attacked Manoharan with weapons in their hands and attempted to commit his murder. During the pendency of the case, the 6th accused Shanmugam, who was in judicial custody and he was died due to illness on 19.04.2018 while undergoing treatment. Hence, the accused has committed offence under Section 147, 148, 294(b), 307 of IPC r/w 34 of IPC.

### **2. COGNIZANCE & COMMITTAL:**

1. The learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kulithalai took cognizance against the above said all accused in P.R.C.No. 4/2025 and on 13.02.2025 the copies were furnished to them u/s 207 Cr.P.C .

2. On receipt of the final report along with the relevant records produced by the prosecution, the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kulithalai has taken the case on file in P.R.C.No. 4/2025 and posted the case for appearance of the accused. The copies of

records under Section 207 Cr.P.C. with free of cost. Since the offence 307 of IPC is exclusively triable by the Sessions Judge, on 13.02.2025 the case was committed to this court under section 209(a) Cr.PC and the accused was directed to appear before this court for trial.

3. On receipt of the records from the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kulithalai the case was taken on file by this court in Sessions case No.40/2025.

### **3. OPENING OF PROSECUTION & FRAMING OF CHARGES:**

1. On 23.09.2025 the prosecutor has opened the case of the prosecution as per Section 226 of Cr.P.C. and upon hearing other side and after careful scrutiny and perusing the case records of the documents, for trial and disposal. Since the prima facie case was made out and following charge was framed.

2. The charges framed under sections 147, 148, 294(b), 307 of IPC. The charges were read over and explained to the accused. All the accused had denied the offence and pleaded not guilty to the charge framed against them.

### **4. Gist of Charge is as follows:**

#### **Charge No.1: (147 of IPC)**

1. Accused Nos. 1 to 35, being persons belonging to the Hindu Pallar community, whereas the witnesses in this case belong to the Hindu Muthuraja community, and owing to the caste dispute that had arisen shortly prior to the occurrence in connection with the Pidari Amman Temple festival conducted in your village, on 05.04.2018 at about 8.30 p.m., within the jurisdiction of Kulithalai Police Station, at Ezhunootrumangalam near Othaivel, when Witness Manoharan had come there to worship the deity, all the accused unlawfully assembled with the common object of causing rioting and disturbance, and thereby committed an offence punishable under Section 147 of IPC.

#### **Charge No.2: (148 of IPC)**

2. Accused Nos. 1 to 35, in continuation of the First Charge, on the same date, time and place, formed yourselves into an unlawful assembly armed with deadly weapons such as aruvals (sickle), wooden logs and other weapons, with the common

object of committing rioting, and thereby committed an offence punishable under Section 148 of IPC.

**Charge No.3: (294(b) of IPC)**

3. Accused Nos. 1 to 35, in continuation of the Second Charge, on the same date, time and place, Accused No.1 uttered abusive and caste-related filthy words to Witness Manoharan to the effect that, “பள்ளப்பயல்களனா அவ்வளவு எலக்காரமா போச்சாடா தேவடியா மவன்களா முத்துராஜாகாரன்களை 10 பேரையாவது போட்டா தான் பள்ளன்னா என்னன்னு தெரியும்” and the other accused also abused Witness Manoharan in obscene and insulting language in a public place, thereby causing annoyance and insult, and thus committed an offence punishable under Section 294(b) of IPC.

**Charge No.4: (307 of IPC)**

4. Accused Nos. 1 to 35, in continuation of the Second Charge, on the same date, time and place, Accused No.1, stating “இத்தோடு ஒழிஞ்சு போடா,” assaulted Witness Manoharan on his face with a wooden log and caused simple injuries; and the other accused, sharing the common object of the unlawful assembly, also attacked Witness Manoharan with the weapons in their hands and attempted to commit his murder, and thereby committed an offence punishable under Section 307 of IPC.

**5. COMMENCEMENT OF TRIAL:**

On 02.08.2025 on framing charges trial commenced and in order to prove the case of Prosecution, PW1 to PW 7 were examined. Ex.P1 to Ex.P9 were marked. In this case there are no material objects involved.

**6. THE PROSECUTION CASE, AS UNFOLDED BY THE PROSECUTION WITNESSES AS FOLLOWS :-**

1. The PW1 Manoharan has given the complaint exhibit P4, on the basis of complaint Thiru. Senthilkumar, Special Sub Inspector of Police, Kulithalai has registered the FIR exhibit P 5.

2. The PW 1 Manoharan has deposed that, on 05.04.2018, a festival of Pidariyamman Temple was taking place in his village Keelakuttapatty. At about 8.30

p.m., he was also present at the temple festival. At that time it was dark. The accused were from the neighbouring village, but he did not know their names. Due to the darkness and the commotion in the crowd, he did not know who assaulted him. He was not aware of what exactly happened. A stone hit his upper jaw, causing injury to him. He initially received first aid at the Government Hospital, Kulithalai, and thereafter he went to the Government Hospital, Trichy, for further treatment. While he was undergoing treatment at the Government Hospital, Trichy, the police asked him to sign. Accordingly, he signed on a blank paper on which nothing was written. The said signature is marked as Ex.P1. He was treated as hostile witness.

3. PW 2 Rajapandi and PW3 Anbalazhan are the eye witnesses have deposed that, they did not know all the accused including Rajendran. However, they knew PW.1 Monoharan who was a resident of his village. On 05.04.2018, a festival of Pidariyamman Temple was taking place in their village. They had gone to attend the temple festival. They did not know about the incident in which PW.1 sustained injuries during the night of the temple festival. They had not been examined by the Police in connection with the said occurrence. They were treated as hostile witnesses.

4. PW.4 Pasupathy is the eye witness of the occurrence, he has deposed that, all the accused were from the neighbouring village. However, he did not know all the accused. On 05.04.2018, when the festival of Pidariyamman Temple was taking place in his village, he was in Karur and therefore he did not know anything about the occurrence. He did not know P.W.1 to P.W.3. He was also not aware of the injuries sustained by Manoharan. He was also treated as hostile witness.

5. PW.5 Sathiyaraj is the eye witness of the occurrence, he has deposed that, he knew all the accused were from his village. However, he knew only PW.4 Pasupathy and did not know other witnesses. On 05.04.2018, when the festival of Pidariyamman Temple was took place in their village, at that time he has also gone to attend the festival. However, he did not know about the incident in which PW.1 sustained injuries. He was also treated as hostile witness.

6. PW.6 Dr.Boominathan was working as a doctor, on 05.04.2018, at about 9.00 p.m., while he was on duty at the Kulithalai Government hospital, the Manoharan, Anbalagan, Bhanumathi, Manivannan, and Sathishkumar came for treatment stating that they had been assaulted by known persons. Among them, Manoharan was referred to the Government Hospital, Trichy, for further treatment. After receiving the medical report from the said hospital, he issued a wound certificate stating that the injury sustained by Manoharan was a simple injury. The wound certificate of Manoharan and Anabalazhan were marked as Ex. P2 and P3.

7. PW 7 Baskaran, Inspector of Police, Kulithalai he has stated that on 06.04.2018 while Tr. Senthilkumar, Special Sub Inspector of Police, Kulithalai was in duty he was received the information from the Trichy Government Hospital, PW.1 was inpatient at the said hospital, the statement given by the PW.1, on the basis of PW.1's statement, he has registered a FIR at Kulithalai Police Station Crime No 178 of 2018 exhibit P 5 and case registered under sections 147, 148, 341, 323, 324, 294(b) and 307 of IPC. Now, the above said Tr. Senthilkumar, Special Sub Inspector of Police, Kulithalai was died. Thereafter, Thiru.Rajmohan, Inspector of Police, he has took the above case for further investigation, on 06.04.2018 he went to the occurrence place and in presence of Selvam and Arumugam he prepared observation Mahazar exhibit P 6 and rough sketch exhibit P7. Thereafter, he has recorded statements of Manoharan, Rajapandi, Deva, Anbalazhan, Palani, Tamilarasan, Sumathy and Sathiyaraj. After that, the accused namely Ranjith, Prabakaran, Palaniyappan, Sakthivel and Duraisamy were arrested on the same day. On 19.04.2018, he has altered the charges and it is marked as Ex.P8. After that, he has removed the accused namely Elavarasan, Maduraiveeran, Singaram and Danapal. Thereafter, Thiru.Rajmohan, Inspector of Police has prepared accused deletion report and the same marked as Ex.P9. As the Inspector of Police Rajmohan had been transferred, PW.7 took charge of the investigation. When he examined the above said witnesses individually, they stated the same facts that had already been recorded by above said Tr.Rajmohan. Therefore, PW.7 did not record any further statements.

Further, he examined Dr.Boominathan and obtained a wound certificate in respect of the injured Manoharan and Anbalazhan in the case, thereafter he recorded his statement. Thereafter he filed final report against all the accused under sections 147, 148, 294(b), 307 of IPC r/w 34 of IPC.

8. PW 1 to 5 are treated as hostile witnesses.

**7. After completing prosecution evidence incriminating evidence against the accused were post to him u/s.313(1)(b) of Cr.P.C.,**

On closing the prosecution side evidence on 07.03.2026 under section 313(2) (b) CrPC question was posed to all the accused, they have answered the same and stated they have no defense witnesses to examine on their side.

**8.The Points for consideration in this case are as follows:-**

1) Whether the all the accused have scolded the PW1 in filthy language so as to attract section 294(b) of IPC ?

2) Whether the prosecution has proved the offences u/s.147, 148, 294(b), 307 of IPC leveled against all the Accused beyond reasonable doubt?

3) If case is proved beyond all reasonable doubt, quantum of punishment ?

4) Whether the victim is entitled for compensation u/s section 357 (a) of Cr.P.C?

5) Whether all the accused are entitled for compensation u/s section 358 of Cr.P.C?

**9. The prosecutor has orally argued and filed written arguments in line with the prosecution case.**

**Arguments of Public Prosecutor in brief :**

The arguments of he Public Prosecutor is that even though the PW 1 to 5 are turned hostile On considering the evidence of PW 6 and PW7 alone the charges are proved. Therefore, the evidence of PW.6 and PW.7 are corroborated with the prosecution case and prayed that all the accused should be punished of their crimes.

**Arguments of Defence Counsel in brief :**

The defense counsel argued that, the PW.1 to 5 were turned hostile and their evidence should not be believed. Further, Ex.P.2, the Accident Register relating to Manoharan, clearly records that he was assaulted by four known persons. Likewise, Ex.P.3, the Accident Register relating to Anbalagan, also mentions that he was assaulted by four known persons. Thus, the earliest medical records indicate the involvement of only four persons in the alleged occurrence. However, despite these clear entries in the Accident Registers, the IO had filed the final report against as many as 35 accused, which clearly demonstrates that the investigation had not been conducted properly and in a fair manner. It was further contended that Manoharan and Anbalagan had actually sustained injuries due to a fall. Therefore, the learned counsel for the accused would submit that the prosecution case is doubtful and unreliable, and the accused are entitled to the benefit of doubt.

**10. Answering to the Point Nos.1 to 3:**

1. The case in hand, the PW 1 Manoharan stated that, on 05.04.2018, a festival of Pidariyamman Temple was taking place in his village Keelakuttapatty. At about 8.30 p.m., he was also present at the temple festival. At that time it was dark. The accused were from the neighbouring village, but he did not know their names. Due to the darkness and the commotion in the crowd, he did not know who assaulted him. He was not aware of what exactly happened. A stone hit his upper jaw, causing injury to him. Hence, the complainant PW 1 himself turned hostile and not support the case of prosecution.

3. Even though the PW 2 to 5 are the eye-witnesses and they were treated as hostile witnesses, the PW2 to PW.4 were stated that all the accused were not known to them. Hence, their evidence also not support the case of prosecution.

4. PW 6 Dr.Boominathan, on 05.04.2018, at about 9.00 p.m., while he was on duty at the Kulithalai Government hospital, the Manoharan, Anbalagan, Bhanumathi, Manivannan, and Sathishkumar came for treatment stating that they had been assaulted by known persons. Among them, Manoharan was referred to the

Government Hospital, Trichy, for further treatment. After receiving the medical report from the said hospital, he issued a wound certificate stating that the injury sustained by Manoharan was a simple injury. The wound certificate of Manoharan and Anabalazhan were marked as Ex. P2 and P3.

5. PW.7 Baskaran, Inspector of Police, Kulithalai, deposed that on 06.04.2018, based on the statement given by PW.1 at Trichy Government Hospital, a case in Crime No.178 of 2018 was registered at Kulithalai Police Station under Sections 147, 148, 341, 323, 324, 294(b) and 307 IPC, and the FIR was marked as Ex.P5. The case was initially registered by Tr. Senthilkumar, Special Sub-Inspector of Police, who later died. Subsequently, Inspector Rajmohan took up the investigation, visited the place of occurrence on 06.04.2018, prepared the Observation Mahazar (Ex.P6) and Rough Sketch (Ex.P7), examined several witnesses, and arrested some accused. Later, on 19.04.2018, the charges were altered (Ex.P8), and four accused were deleted through an accused deletion report (Ex.P9). After the transfer of Inspector Rajmohan, PW.7 Baskaran continued the investigation, examined the witnesses and Dr. Boominathan, obtained wound certificates for the injured Manoharan and Anbalagan, and finally filed the final report against the accused under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), and 307 IPC r/w 34 IPC.

8. From evidence of PW 1 it is not clear that all the accused have not abused the PW1 and caused injury to the PW-1. Further, the PW.2 to PW.5 were the eye witnesses of the above incident. But, they were turned as hostile. Since there is no other eye witnesses to speak of the occurrence or to corroborate the case of prosecution.

9. According to *Mehatar v. The State of Maharashtra, 2025 INSC 216 (Supreme Court of India)* when a witness's testimony is found to be partly reliable and partly unreliable, it cannot by itself support conviction unless there is independent corroboration in material particulars. In the present case, PW-1's evidence similarly falls in the third category (neither wholly reliable nor wholly unreliable), and without sufficient corroboration, conviction would not be legally

sustainable. Similarly, In *Lallu Manjhi v. State of Jharkhand*, (2003 INSC 1) the Hon'ble Supreme Court reiterated that when the evidence of a witness falls in the third category, it is unsafe to base conviction solely on such evidence without independent corroboration.

10. The case in hand, no independent or corroborative evidence is available to substantiate the prosecution version.

11. From the above discussions, the prosecution has not proved the charges beyond all reasonable doubts. Therefore the Prosecution has not proved the charges 147, 148, 294(b) and 307 of IPC beyond all reasonable doubt. Accordingly points No 1 to 3 are answered.

**12. Answering to the Point No.4:**

Case on hand, the charges were not proved beyond all reasonable doubt and the question of the victim does not arise u/s 357(a) of Cr.P.C. Accordingly point No 4 is answered.

**13. Answering to the Point No.5:**

All the accused herein has not claimed any compensation, nor while cross examination of the Investigation officers, he has not also put any questions to this effect. Since, he has not claimed any compensation for his arrest and detention, I am not inclined to grant any compensation in this section 358 of Cr.P.C. Accordingly, the point No. 5 is answered.

**In fine,**

(i) The accused No. 1 to 35 are acquitted from all the charges u/s 147, 148, 294(b), 307 of IPC as per under section 235(1) of CRPC.

(ii) The bail bond executed by all the accused shall stand cancelled.

Dictated to the Steno-typist, typed by him directly on computer, corrected and pronounced by me in the open Court on the 09<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

**DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,  
KARUR.**

**LIST OF WITNESSES AND EXHIBITS**

**Witnesses on behalf of Prosecution:-**

PW1	Manoharan
PW2	Rajapandiyan
PW3	Anbalagan
PW4	Pasupathy
PW5	Sathiyaraj
PW6	Dr.Boominathan
PW7	Thiru.Baskaran

**Exhibits on behalf of Prosecution:-**

Ex.P1	Signature in the Complaint
Ex.P2	Wound certificate of Manoharan
Ex.P3	Wound certificate of Anbalazhan
Ex.P4	Complaint
Ex.P5	First Information Report
Ex.P6	Observation Mahazar
Ex.P7	Rough Sketch
Ex.P8	Alteration Report
Ex.P9	Accused deletion report

**List of Material Objects on behalf of Prosecution:- Nil**

**List of Witnesses, on behalf of Defence:- Nil**

**List of Documents on behalf of Defence : Nil**

**List of Documents marked through witness:- Nil**

**DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,  
KARUR.**

**ANNEXURE-II**  
**CASE SUMMARY**

**(in S.C.No.40/2025)**

i	The period of remand of the accused ;	A1 to A10 – 06.04.2018 to 21.04.2018 A1 to A10 – 24.04.2018 to 27.04.2018		
ii	The date of filing of the final report in the case ;	29.10.2018		
iii	The date of committal of the case to the Court of Sessions;	13.02.2025		
iv	The date of questioning of the accused u/s 228 of the Cr.P.C. as the case may be ;	23.09.2025		
v	Filing of all miscellaneous petitions and their results including the results on challenge before superior Courts;except routine petitions like petitions u/s 317 of the Code;	CrI. M.P.No.	Result	Result in Superior Courts
		----	----	----
vi	Date of examination in chief and cross examination of a witness;	P.Ws. No.	Date of Chief examination	Date of Cross examination
		1	25.02.2026	--
		2	25.02.2026	--
		3	25.02.2026	--
		4	25.02.2026	--
		5	25.02.2026	--
		6	07.03.2026	07.03.2026
		7	07.03.2026	07.03.2026
Wvi i	Date of examination of the accused u/s 313 of the Code;	09.03.2026		
viii	Details of abscondence of an accused and his appearance/ production, as the case may be; and	---		
ix	Grant of stay by superior	---		

	Courts and the results thereof	
--	--------------------------------	--