

IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, KARUR

PRESENT : Thiru.K.H.Elavazhagan, B.Sc., M.L.,

District and Sessions Judge.

Tuesday, the 23rd day of June 2026

CrI.M.P.No.557/2026

CNR.No:TNKR-01-001434-2026

Thiruvengadasubramani @ Appukutti
S/o.Periyasamy

..Petitioner/accused

/Vs/

The State represented by,
The Inspector of police,
Vengamedu P.S.,
Cr.No.125/2026

..Respondent/Complainant.

This is a petition filed by the petitioner u/s.483 of BNSS., seeking bail.

This petition coming on for final hearing before me today in the presence of Thiru T.Anbazhagan, advocate for the petitioner and of Thiru. N. Senthilkumar, Public Prosecutor appearing for the state and upon hearing the arguments of both sides and having stood over for consideration till this day, this Court has passed the following.

O R D E R

Heard both sides.

The petitioner/accused is seeking bail for the offences alleged to have been committed by them u/s.127(7), 138, 142, 351(3) of BNS Act @ Sec.191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 118(2), 127(7), 138, 142, 351(3) and 103(1) of BNS Act concerned in Cr.No.125/2026 of Vengamedu P.S.,

The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused submits that in fact this petitioner is no way connected with the alleged offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case. This petitioner is in judicial custody since 28.04.2026. He prays to release him on bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor submits that there are totally eight accused in this case and the petitioner is the 3rd accused. He states that the defacto complainant is the son of the deceased Pushparaj. He states that the father of the defacto complainant is doing real estate business and due to his business, he used to visit Karur often. In this circumstances, on 27.04.2026, the uncle of the defacto complainant viz.Ramesh contacted the defacto complainant and told him that himself, the father of the defacto complainant viz.Pushparaj, one Edvinbabu, Raja and Elangershwaran Balaji came to Karur for their business and he told him that the accused came there and they threatened the father of the defacto complainant and others and on the same day, at about 3.00 p.m., the accused have

kidnapped the father of the defacto complainant viz.Pushparaj, Edvinbabu and Elangershwaran Balaji. Further, on the same day, the defacto complainant received a call from the mobile phone of his father and the accused have told the defacto complainant that they have kidnapped his father and demanded him a sum of Rs.50,00,000/- and to come to Sivagiri with a sum of Rs.20,00,000/- immediately.

Thereafter, the defacto complainant gave a complaint regarding the above said fact and on the next day, the police have identified the accused and arrested them. Further, a Shift car bearing registration No.TN 42 AM 4444 and a car bearing registration No.TN 02 AB 7204, an iron rod and an iron pipe have been recovered from the accused. Further, the victims were recovered and they were admitted in the hospital. Thereafter, on 09.05.2026, the said Pushparaj succumbed to his injuries and the other two victims were discharged from the hospital. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. The earlier bail petition was dismissed by this Court in V.Crl.M.P.No.22/2026 dated 14.05.2026. The co-accused has been released on bail by the Honourable Madurai Bench of Madras High Court. The investigation in this case is pending. He states that if the petitioner is released on bail, it would cause prejudice to the further course of the investigation and hence, he objected to release him on bail.

It is seen that the accused have kidnapped the father of the defacto complainant viz.Pushparaj, one Edvinbabu and Elangershwaran Balaji and demanded the defacto complainant to give a sum of Rs.50,00,000/- and to come to Sivagiri with a sum of Rs.20,00,000/- immediately.

Thereafter, the defacto complainant gave a complaint regarding the above said fact and on the next day, the police have identified the accused and arrested them. Further, a Shift car bearing registration No.TN 42 AM 4444 and a car bearing registration No.TN 02 AB 7204, an iron rod and an iron pipe have been recovered from the accused. Further, the victims were recovered and they were admitted in the hospital. Thereafter, on 09.05.2026, the said Pushparaj succumbed to his injuries and the other two victims were discharged from the hospital. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. The earlier bail petition was dismissed by this Court in V.Crl.M.P.No.22/2026 dated 14.05.2026. The co-accused has been released on bail by the Honourable Madurai Bench of Madras High Court.

Considering the fact and circumstances of the case, the nature of the offence, period of incarceration of the petitioner, the co-accused has been released on bail by the Honourable Madurai Bench of Madras High Court and no previous case is pending against the petitioner, I am of the view that granting bail to this petitioner would no way cause prejudice to the further course of the investigation and hence, I am inclined to allow this petition.

In the result, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Karur on further condition that;

(a)The petitioner shall sign before the respondent/police daily at 10.00 a.m. and 6.00 p.m., for about 15 days,

(b) he shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial,

(c) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial,

(d) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

(e) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under section 269 of BNS Act

Accordingly, this petition is allowed on conditions.

Pronounced by me in Open Court on this the 23rd day of June 2026.

DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
KARUR.

To
The Petitioner through counsel.
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Karur.
Respondent through Public Prosecutor.