

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS COURT,
KANCHEEPURAM

PRESENT: Thiru. S. Nagarajan, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Principal District & Sessions Court ,
Kancheepuram.

Wednesday the 8th day of July 2026

CrI.M.P.No. 1265/2026

A2. Akash, age 35
S/o. Murugan
Periyar Street,
Ramji Nagar
Naduveerapattu,
Kancheepuram.

...Petitioner/Accused

// Vs //

State: Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Somangalam Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.
Cr.No.171/2026

. . . Respondent/Complainant

This petition came up before me for hearing today in the presence of counsels M/s. S. Tamilneethi, G. Prasanth, appearing for the petitioner/Accused and Thiru.V. Bharathi, the Public Prosecutor for the respondent/Prosecution, on perusal of reply submitted by Prosecution and upon hearing the arguments from the counsels on both side, upon perusing the entire records of the case, this Court passed the following:-

ORDER

The petitioner who was arrested on 25.06.2026 for commission of alleged offences under sections 296(b), 324(3), 308(2), 351(2) r/w 3(1) of TNPPDL Act has filed the present petition seeking Bail under s.483 of the BNSS, 2023.

The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused has filed the present bail application on the ground that no offence as stated by the prosecution has taken place and that a false case has been foisted upon him. He would state that the

petitioner/accused is in custody since 25.06.2025⁶ and he is ready to co-operate with the investigation. He would state that there is no previous cases pending against the petitioner/accused herein. On these grounds he would pray for grant of bail.

The learned Public prosecutor on behalf of the respondent police would object on the ground that the petitioner/accused is said to have caused damage in front of the portico glass to the defacto complainant house. He would state that the co-accused are still abscond. He would state that the investigation is not yet completed. On these grounds he would strongly oppose for grant of bail.

Heard the both counsels concerned and perused the relevant records. It is seen that the petitioner/accused is said to have caused damage in front of the portico glass to the defacto complainant house. It is seen that there is no previous cases pending against the petitioner/accused herein. Considering the nature of the accusation and the facts and circumstances, this court is inclined to grant bail on conditions. The petitioner shall directed to appear before the Sivakanchi police daily at 10.00 a.m. and 06.00 p.m. until further orders. The petitioner is enlarged on bail with the stringent condition and also co-operate for investigation failing which he is not-co-operate, the prosecution is generally at liberty to move for bail cancellation under Section 439(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure (CrPC) (or the corresponding [Section 483(3) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS).

Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sriperumbudur and on further condition that

- (a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to confirm their identity.
- (b) The petitioner shall directed to appear before the Sivakanchi police daily at 10.00 a.m. and 06.00 p.m. until further orders.
- (c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence/hamper investigation and shall not

influence the witness in any manner either during investigation or trial.

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(e) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

(f) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, the prosecution shall be at liberty to register a fresh FIR under Section 269 of BNS.

//This order has been dictated by me to the Stenographer, typed by her in the computer, corrected and pronounced by me in the Court on this Wednesday the 8th day of July 2026.//

Sd./- S. Nagarajan
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Principal District and Sessions Court,
Kancheepuram.

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Sriperumbudur
2. The Inspector of Police, Somangalam Police Station
3. The Inspector of Police, Sivakanchi Police Station
4. The Public Prosecutor
5. The Counsel for Petitioner.

//True copy//