

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS COURT,
KANCHEEPURAM

PRESENT: Tmt. S. Mohanakumari M.A., B.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Principal District & Sessions Court, (FAC)
Kancheepuram.

Monday the 29th day of June 2026

CrI.M.P.No. 1179/2026

Ramar, aged about 50 years
S/o. Velusamy
No.7th Main Road,
Mannivakkam
Kancheepuram District.
Tamilnadu-601301

...Petitioner/Accused

// Vs //

State: Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
CCB Tambaram Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.
Cr.No.60/2025

. . . Respondent/Complainant

This petition came up before me for hearing today in the presence of counsels M/s. P.M. Beaulaw Supriya, S. Manjula, appearing for the petitioner/Accused and Thiru. E.L.Kannan, the Public Prosecutor for the respondent/Prosecution, on perusal of reply submitted by Prosecution and upon hearing the arguments from the counsels on both side, upon perusing the entire records of the case, this Court passed the following:-

ORDER

The petitioner who was arrested on 14.05.2026 for commission of alleged offences under sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 of IPC has filed the present petition seeking Bail under s.483 of the BNSS, 2023.

The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused has filed the present bail application on the ground that no offence as stated by the prosecution has taken place and that a false case has been foisted upon him. He would state that the

petitioners/accused is in custody since 14.05.2026. On these grounds she would pray for grant of bail.

The learned Public prosecutor on behalf of the respondent police would strongly oppose for the grant of bail on the ground that the petitioner/accused who is arrayed as A.2. He would state that the petitioner/accused along with the co-accused are said to have created a fraudulently created a fake patta and thereafter executing a sale deed in favour of the third parties. He would state that the petitioner/accused attempted to grab the property. He would point out that the investigation is still pending. On these grounds he would strongly oppose for grant of bail.

Heard the parties concerned and perused the relevant records. Upon perusal of records, it is seen that the petitioner/accused is in custody since 14.05.2026. This is a case of cheating. Considering the nature of the accusation and the facts and circumstances, this court is not inclined to grant bail. Hence the bail application is dismissed.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

//This order has been dictated by me to the Stenographer, typed by her in the computer, corrected and pronounced by me in the Court on this Monday the 29th day of June 2026.//

Sd./- S. Mohanakumari
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Principal District and Sessions Court,
Kancheepuram.(FAC)