

In the Court of the Principal District Judge,
Principal District Court, Kancheepuram.

PRESENT: **Tmt. Deepthi Arivunithi, M.L.,**
Principal District Judge,
Principal District Court ,
Kancheepuram.

Monday the 16th day of February 2026

IA.No.1/2025 in LAOP. No.173/2024

Aakula Anthonysamy

...Petitioner/Proposed 13th petitioner.

Vs

1. Balammal
2. Maria Koratti
3. Maria Selvam
4. Maria Stephen
5. Maria Leo
6. Maria Joseph
7. Gnana Prakasam
8. Land Acquisition Officer &
The Special Tahsildar,
Unit III & IV

..Respondents

This petition is coming on 30.01.2026 for final hearing before me in the presence of M/s. .L. Sowmiya Narayanan,M. Priya Counsel appearing for the Petitioner/proposed 13th petitioner and Thiru. B.S. Ramesh, Counsel for the 6th respondent /12th respondent and upon hearing the arguments on both sides and upon perusal of records and having stood over the matter for consideration till this day, this court delivered the following

ORDER

Petition filed under Order I Rule 10 (2) and s.151 C.P.C. to implead the petitioner as the 13th petitioner in the present claim petition.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would contend as follows. The petitioner is one of the legal heir of Marianna Reddy and the land in S.No. 23/2 – 0.52.0 ares in Vallam A Village, Sriperumpudur Taluk, is the family property of Aroggiyasamy and the petitioner. The petitioners 1 to 5 are his brother's children. There is no dispute in between the petitioner and the respondents. The petitioner is entitled to his proportionate share of compensation. He is not opposing to the entitlement of the share of the respondents/claimants 1 to 5 and therefore, that he is necessary party to the proceedings and he may be impleaded.

3. The respondents/claimants 1 to 6 have endorsed no counter in the present petition.

4. The learned Special Government pleader would state that as per the revenue records and as per the award of the District Collector the land appears to belong to the Church and the Land reforms authority. Hence, without impleading the said parties, the claims cannot be decided.

5. The 6th respondent/12th respondent has filed a counter. It is contended by the learned Special Governmetn Pleader that the other respondents/claimants 7 to 11 have filed a suit in O.S. No.111 of 2024 before the Principal District Munsif Court,

Poonamallee claiming the inheritance of (late) Mathinammal and the case is now pending in S.A. No. 100 of 2018 before the Hon'ble High Court of Madras. The respondents have objected to the disbursement of the compensation to the respondents 1 to 5. The petitioner is not related to the properties in any manner. The respondent is an unnecessary party to the proceedings.

6. Upon hearing both sides and having perused the materials on record, this court finds as follows. The present petition is filed by the petitioner to implead himself as a claimant. He would state that the proerpty acquired belongs to his family and the claimants 1 to 6 and himself are entitled to proportionate share in the compensation amount. It is not disputed that the petitioner is the uncle of the claimants 1 to 6. The said claimants have also endorsed their no objection to the present petition. It is also seen that already rival claimants are shown as the claimants 7 to 11. Hence, this court finds that the petitioner/proposed party is a necessary party to the proceedings.

7. As far as the memo filed by the Special Government pleader is concerned, the respondent is at liberty to file necessary application to implead further parties who may be found to be necessary parties to the proceedings. No further adjudication is required in respect of the memo filed by the respondent.

8. For the foregoing reasons, this court finds that the petitioner is a necessary party to the proceedings and therefore, that he has to be impleaded in the present proceedings. Accordingly, the present petition has to be allowed.

9. In result, this petition is allowed without costs.

//This order has been dictated by me to the Stenographer, transcribed and typed by her in the computer, corrected and pronounced by me in the open Court on this the Monday the 16th day of February 2026//

Principal District Judge,
Principal District Court,
Kancheepuram.

Exhibits and Witnesses on both side: NIL.

Principal District Judge,
Principal District Court,
Kancheepuram.

Draft/Fair Order
I.A.No.1/2025 in
L.A.O.P.No. 173/2024
D.D. 16.02.2026
Principal District Court ,
Kancheepuram.

