

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS COURT,
KANCHEEPURAM

PRESENT: Tmt. Deepthi Arivunithi, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Principal District & Sessions Court,
Kancheepuram.

Friday the 27th day of March 2026

CrI.M.P.No.611/2026

Saranya (age 40)
W/o. Karmegam
No. 57/82, Mettu Street
Lala thottam, Konerikuppam Village
Kancheepuram District.

...Petitioner/Accused

// Vs //

State: Represented by
The Sub Inspector of Police,
PEW Police Station
Kancheepuram District.
Cr.No. 16/2026

. . . Respondent/Complainant

This petition came up before me for final hearing today which was filed by M/s V. Naveen, P. Arivumani, R. Ravikumar, Counsels appearing for the petitioners and the reply submitted by the police through Mr.E.L. Kannnan, the Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent, upon hearing the arguments from the counsels on both sides, upon perusing the entire records of the case, this Court passed the following:-

ORDER

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for alleged offences under sections 4(1)(C), 4(1)(A) of TNP Amendment Act has filed the present petition seeking anticipatory bail.

The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused has filed the present petition seeking anticipatory bail on the ground that no offence as stated by the prosecution has taken place and he would state that merely because of the petitioner/accused was present with the other co-accused and she was implicated in the present case due to

which past cases pending against her. He would state that the present case has been falsely foisted upon her. On these grounds he would pray for grant of anticipatory bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor on behalf of the respondent police would strongly oppose for the grant of anticipatory bail on the ground that there are two previous cases of similar nature pending against the petitioner/accused which is registered in the year 2026. He would further state that the investigation is still pending in a preliminary stage and she was also arrested and is in custody in respect of some other case and on these grounds he would oppose for grant of anticipatory bail.

Heard the parties concerned and perused the relevant records. In this case, it is seen that the petitioner/accused was already involved in similar offence. Considering the nature of the accusation and the preliminary stage of investigation, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail. Hence, the anticipatory bail application is dismissed.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

//This order has been dictated by me to the Stenographer, typed by her in the computer, corrected and pronounced by me in the Court on this Friday the 27th day of March 2026.//

Sd./- Deepthi Arivunithi

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Principal District and Sessions Court ,
Kancheepuram

// True copy//