

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS COURT,
KANCHEEPURAM

PRESENT: Tmt. Deepthi Arivunithi, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Principal District & Sessions Court ,
Kancheepuram.

Wednesday the 1st day of April 2026

CrI.M.P.No. 608/2026

Surya M/24 years
S/o. Hari
No. 316, Anna Street
Padicheri
Vayalur
Kancheepuram District.

...Petitioner/Accused

// Vs //

State: Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Sriperumbudur Police Station
Kancheepuram District.
Cr.No. 129/2026

. . . Respondent/Complainant

This petition came up before me for final hearing today which was filed by M/s B. Hariharan, D. Kaviyarasu, S. Chandrasekar, Counsels appearing for the petitioner and the reply submitted by the police through Mr.E.L. Kannnan, the Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent, upon hearing the arguments from the counsels on both sides, upon perusing the entire records of the case, this Court passed the following:-

ORDER

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for alleged offences under sections 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 324(1), 351(2) of BNS has filed the present petition seeking anticipatory bail.

The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would seek for Anticipatory bail on the ground that the petitioner/accused has not committed any offence and a false case has been foisted against him. It is also pointed out that the arrested co-

accused was enlarged on bail by the Learned Judicial Magistrate, Sriperumbudur on on 23.03.2026 in CrI.M.P.No. 476/2026. He would point out that there are no previous case pending against the petitioner/accused herein. He would further state that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and on these grounds he would pray for grant of anticipatory bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor on behalf of the respondent police would object on the ground that the investigation is pending. However it is stated that there is no previous case pending as against the petitioner/accused and the injured has been discharged from the hospital. On these grounds he would oppose for grant of anticipatory bail.

Heard the parties concerned and perused the relevant records. In this case, the fact that the arrested co-accused was enlarged on bail by the Learned Judicial Magistrate, Sriperumbudur on on 23.03.2026 in CrI.M.P.No. 476/2026 and the fact that the injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioner/accused is relevant. Hence, considering the facts and circumstances, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner on conditions.

Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of this order, before the Judicial Magistrate, Sriperumbudur on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to confirm their identity.

(b) the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m. until further orders.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence/hamper investigation and shall not influence the witness(es) in any manner either during investigation or trial.

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(e) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

(f) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, the prosecution shall be at liberty to register a fresh FIR under Section 269 of BNS.

//This order has been dictated by me to the Stenographer, typed by her in the computer, corrected and pronounced by me in the Court on this Wednesday the 1st day of April 2026.//

Sd./- Deepthi Arivunithi

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Principal District and Sessions Court ,
Kancheepuram

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Sriperumbudur
2. The Inspector of Police, Sriperumbudur Police Station
3. The Public Prosecutor
4. The Counsel for Petitioner.

// True copy//