

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS COURT,
KANCHEEPURAM

PRESENT: Tmt. S. Mohanakumari, M.A., B.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Principal District & Sessions Court,(FAC)
Kancheepuram.

Friday the 13th day of March 2026

CrL.M.P.No.533/2026

1. Jayaseelan (Age 28)
S/o. Sathiyathan
No. 67, Avvaiyar Street
Alanjeri Village, Valathoor Post
Kancheepuram District.

2. Uthirakumar (Age 31)
S/o. Kannan
No. 104, Durgaiyamma Kovil Street
Thirupulivanam Village and Post
Kancheepuram District.

...Petitioners/Accused

// Vs //

State: Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Uthiramerur Police Station
Kancheepuram District.
Cr.No. 47/2026

. . . Respondent/Complainant

This petition came up before me for final hearing today which was filed by M/s K. Prabakaran, M. Elango, E. Arumugam, V. Amuthan, Counsels appearing for the petitioners and the reply submitted by the police through Mr.E.L. Kannan, the Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent, upon hearing the arguments from the counsels on both sides, upon perusing the entire records of the case, this Court passed the following:-

ORDER

The petitioners who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for alleged offences under sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(2) of BNS has filed

the present petition seeking anticipatory bail.

The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would state a false case has been foisted upon the petitioner/accused. He would submit that both parties are agreed to compromise. On these grounds he would pray for grant of anticipatory bail.

The learned public prosecutor would strongly oppose for the grant of anticipatory bail on the ground that the 2nd petitioner is arrayed as A.1 and the 1st petitioner is arrayed as A.4. He would point out that the 2nd petitioner/A.1 is having 10 previous cases and is an history sheeter and On these grounds he would oppose for grant of bail.

The defacto complainant is present before this court and filed a compromise memo and he is stated that no objection for grant of anticipatory bail.

Heard the parties concerned and perused the relevant records. In this case, the defacto complainant is present before this court and a compromise memo filed. The defacto complainant would state that no objection for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners/accused. It is stated that the petitioners/accused are ready to co-operate with the investigation agency and in view of the facts and circumstances, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail on condition.

Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of this order, before the District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Uthiramerur on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to confirm their identity.

(b) the petitioners shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m. until

further orders.

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence/hamper investigation and shall not influence the witness(es) in any manner either during investigation or trial.

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(e) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

(f) If the petitioners thereafter absconds, the prosecution shall be at liberty to register a fresh FIR under Section 269 of BNS.

//This order has been dictated by me to the Stenographer, typed by her in the computer, corrected and pronounced by me in the Court on this Friday the 13th day of March 2026.//

Sd./- S. Mohanakumari
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Principal District and Sessions Court ,
Kancheepuram(FAC)

To

1. The District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Uthiramerur
2. The Inspector of Police, Uthiramerur Police Station
3. The Public Prosecutor
4. The Counsel for Petitioners.