

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS COURT,
KANCHEEPURAM

PRESENT:Tmt. S. Mohanakumari, M.A., B.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Principal District & Sessions Court , (FAC)
Kancheepuram.

Tuesday the 17th day of March 2026

CrI.M.P.No.532/2026

Ravi (Age 61)
S/o. Murugan
No. 104, Mariamman Koil Street
Koiyambakkam Village
Walajabad Taluk
Kancheepuram District.

...Petitioner/Accused

// Vs //

State: Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
B5 Walajabad Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.
Cr.No. 369/2025

. . . Respondent/Complainant

This petition came up before me for hearing today in the presence of counsels M/s. B. Thirumalai, M. Gubendiran, M. Loganathan, E. Dharmarasu, G. Balaji, B. Thirumala, appearing for the petitioner/Accused and Thiru. E.L.Kannan, the Public Prosecutor for the respondent/Prosecution, on perusal of reply submitted by Prosecution and upon hearing the arguments from the counsels on both side, upon perusing the entire records of the case, this Court passed the following:-

ORDER

The petitioner who was arrested on 05.03.2026 for commission of alleged offences under sections 296(b), 78(1) of BNS r/w Sec. 4 of TNPHW Act @ 75(1), 296(b) of BNS r/w section 4 of TNPHW ACT has filed the present petition seeking Bail under s.483 of the BNSS, 2023.

The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would state a false case has been foisted upon the petitioner/accused. He would point out that the

petitioner/accused was arrested on 05.03.2026 and he is in custody till date. He would state that no previous case pending against the petitioner/accused herein. He would point out that the petitioner/accused is aged about 61 years and he is an diabetic patient. He would further state that the petitioner/accused have two daughters and one son and his son is 70% brain disability child. On these grounds he would pray for grant of bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor on behalf of the respondent police would object on the ground that the petitioner/accused has said to have sexually harassed her and also criminally intimidated the defacto complainant herein. He would further point out that the investigation is pending in a preliminary stage. On these grounds he would oppose for grant of bail.

Heard the parties concerned and perused the relevant records. Considering the nature of accusation and preliminary stage of investigation, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Hence bail application is dismissed.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

//This order has been dictated by me to the Stenographer, typed by her in the computer, corrected and pronounced by me in the Court on this Tuesday the 17th day of March 2026.//

Sd./- S. Mohanakumari
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Principal District and Sessions Court,
Kancheepuram. (FAC)