

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS COURT,
KANCHEEPURAM

PRESENT:Tmt. S. Mohanakumari, M.A., B.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Principal District & Sessions Court , (FAC)
Kancheepuram.

Thursday the 12th day of March 2026

CrI.M.P.No.531/2026

1. Dileshwar (Age 36)
S/o. Parasram
No. 29, Ghupsal Charbhatha, Rajnandgaon
Chhuriya, Chhattisgarh.

2. Morya (Age 23)
S/o. Tejram Sori
No. 1, Ghupsal Charbhatha, Rajnandgaon
Chhuriya, Chhattisgarh.

...Petitioners/Accused

// Vs //

State: Represented by
The Sub Inspector of Police,
B5 Walajabad Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.

Cr.No. 394/2025

. . . Respondent/Complainant

This petition came up before me for hearing today in the presence of counsels M/s. K. Kumaresan, K. Balaji, S. Gunaseelan, R. Manjulakshmi, appearing for the petitioners/Accused and Thiru. E.L.Kannan, the Public Prosecutor for the respondent/Prosecution, on perusal of reply submitted by Prosecution and upon hearing the arguments from the counsels on both side, upon perusing the entire records of the case, this Court passed the following:-

ORDER

The petitioners who were arrested on 06.12.2025 for commission of alleged offences under sections 194(1) @ 103 of BNS has filed the present petition seeking Bail under s.483 of the BNSS, 2023.

The learned counsel for the petitioners /accused has filed the present bail application on the ground that they have been falsely implicated in the offence. He would further point out that the petitioners/accused are in custody since 06.12.2025 and that they are ready to co-operate with the investigation agency. He would point out that the investigation has been completed and the final report is also filed and numbered as PRC. No. 52/2026. On these grounds he would pray for grant of bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor on behalf of the respondent police would strongly oppose for the grant of bail on the ground that the petitioner/1st accused is said to have had illicit affair with the deceased and they are said to have murdered the deceased in a pre - planned manner. The petitioners/accused are said to have caused the death of the deceased. He would further state that the investigation has been completed and the final report is also filed and numbered as PRC. No. 52/2026. He would further state that this the 3rd bail application and the earlier bail application was dismissed by this court on 24.02.2026 in CrI.M.P. No. 411/2026. He would point out that the petitioners/accused are North Indians, if they are enlarged on bail likely to abscond. On these grounds he would oppose for grant of bail.

Heard the parties concerned and perused the relevant records. The earlier bail application of the petitioners/accused was dismissed by this court in CrI.M.P.No.411/2026 on 24.02.2026. The petitioners/accused 1 &2 are said to have murdered the deceased since she questioned the illegal relationship of the 1st petitioner/accused along with the other woman. The learned Public Prosecutor would

state that the investigation has been completed and the final report is also filed and numbered as PRC. No. 52/2026. Considering the facts and circumstances, this court is not inclined to grant bail. Hence the bail application is dismissed.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

//This order has been dictated by me to the Stenographer, typed by her in the computer, corrected and pronounced by me in the Court on this Thursday the 12th day of March 2026.//

Sd./- S. Mohanakumari
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Principal District and Sessions Court,
Kancheepuram. (FAC)