

State Vs Kuldeep BA-1434-2026

Present: Sh. Manoj Kumar , counsel for applicant-accused Kuldeep.
Sh. Ajay, APP for State.

Reply to the bail application filed. Arguments heard on the bail application. It is contended by Ld counsel for accused that accused has been falsely implicated in the present case and he is in custody since the day of his arrest.

Per contra, Learned APP for the state has opposed the bail application on the ground that if the accused be released on bail in this case, then there are chances of fleeing away of accused after releasing on bail. Further argued that if the accused would be granted concession of bail then there are very much chances that accused will pressurize the witnesses and may commit a crime again. With these averments, he prayed for dismissal of the bail application of the accused.

Heard the respective contentions of ld. Counsel for the accused and ld. APP for the State and perused the case file very carefully. Moreover, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the accused in custody. Therefore, accused is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 30,000/- with one surety in the like amount. **Requisite bonds not furnished.** Papers be tagged with main case file and be put up on date fixed i.e. on 28.7.2026.

(Rakesh Kadian)
ACJM/CJ(SD)/RC/Rohtak
UID No.HR0365
18.07.2026

beenu