

Case file no. 09/2022 SCPPS
Zila Sahkari Krishi Gramin Vikas Bank
Vs.
Smt. Savitri, Rajinder Bharti & Ors.

07.11.2025

Present: Mr. Abhishek Mehrotra, Ld. SPP (*VC*).

Mr. Anil Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the complainant Narender Singh Parmar (*VC*).

Mr. Abhishek Mehrotra submits that earlier he was SPP in the matter, since in the State of Madhya Pradesh, by virtue of an amendment offences u/s 409/467/468 & 471 of Cr.PC are triable by the Court of Sessions and therefore he was conducting the trial in the Court of Sessions being Prosecutor. He also submits that in the month of July 2025 he has been transferred from Gwalior to Chattarpur and new SPP Manish Kumar Sharma was nominated to conduct the trial. On request of this Court he has supplied mobile number of Manish Kumar Sharma to the Reader of the court.

Let copy of this order along with Court notice be sent to Mr. Manish Kumar Sharma on the mobile number through WhatsApp so that he can join the proceedings on the next date and inform this Court as to who would be conducting trial in this Court for the State of Madhya Pradesh. A copy be sent today itself.

Mr. Anil Kumar Shukla for the complainant, at the request of this court, has supplied his e-mail id as well as e-mail id of the complainant Narender Singh Parmar and his mobile number to the Reader of the Court, to facilitate communication in future, if

required. The same be noted down by the Reader on records.

Mr. Anil Kumar Shukla also submits that the complainant would be engaging some other counsel to represent him in this trial as he is unable to come to this Court from Gwalior.

He also informs that the matter is at the stage of Defence Evidence and out of 11 defence witnesses cited by the accused Rajendra Bharti various witnesses were dropped when they were present in the court vide orders dated 25.10.2024, 09.11.2024, 26.11.2024 & 09.01.2025. He also submits that the complainant has not received copy of application filed by one of the accused Raghuvir Sharan u/s 197 of Cr.PC read with other provisions.

In order to save time, let a scanned copy of that application from the records received in this Court be supplied to the complainant and his counsel Anil Kumar Shukla immediately.

At this stage, Mr. R.D. Singh, Id. Counsel who represents both the accused has joined the VC at 02:42 pm and on the request of the Court he has also provided his e-mail id to the Reader, which be noted down.

However, neither of the two accused are present through VC or otherwise. Mr. R. D. Singh submits that the two accused are scheduled to appear in this Court on 17.11.2025, the date already fixed by the Id. Court while transferring the matter as reflected in the ordersheet dated 30.10.2025 passed by Id. 3rd Additional Sessions Judge/ Special Judge, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh. Mr. R.D. Singh also submits that most likely the accused

persons shall engage another counsel to defend them in this matter in this new Court. He is requested to communicate it to the accused that whatever steps the accused wishes to take regarding the engagement of counsel, the same be taken urgently and on the next date of hearing their counsel ought to remain present. He is also requested to communicate it to the two accused that both the accused ought to be present either physically or through VC on the next date of hearing i.e. 17.11.2025 and that there shall be no adjournment in the matter.

A copy of this order be also sent to Mr. Manish Kumar Sharma (SPP), Mr. Anil Kumar Shukla Counsel for the complainant, the complainant, Mr. R.D.Singh counsel for the accused, and both the accused persons whose numbers were provided by R. D. Singh to the Reader of this Court yesterday.

Counsel for the complainant has also supplied one additional mobile number of accused Rajendra Bharti today to the Reader of this Court, therefore a copy of order be also sent through WhatsApp on that mobile number also.

For the sake of records it is noted down that both the accused Rajendra Bharti and Raghuvir Sharan were sent Court notice along with copy of order sheet passed by this Court yesterday through WhatsApp, requesting them to appear today through VC and the link of VC was also supplied, and the said WhatsApp messages indeed delivered to both of them as double tick appears even though blue tick is not appearing on the message sent by the Reader of this Court. It reflects that the two accused

despite being aware of the matter being taken up today are not present even through VC. Anyhow, since their counsel has appeared and has submitted that the accused would appear on 17.11.2025, no adverse order is required to be passed today.

Let the accused Raghuvir Shukla be also prepared to advance arguments on the application preferred by him on the next date of hearing. The said application be given IA number by the Ahlmad of this Court.

Put up on **17.11.2025** for appearance and arguments.

(DIG VINAY SINGH)
Special Judge (PC Act) (CBI)-09
(MPs/MLAs cases), RACC, Delhi ^(m)