

SC/06/2025

Old Case file no. 09/2022 SCPPS

CNR no.DLCT11-001079-2025

U/s 120B r/w 420/467/468/471/409 IPC

Zila Sahkari Krishi Gramin Vikas Bank Vs. Smt. Savitri, Rajinder Bharti &
Ors.

13.02.2026

Present: Mr. Manish Rawat, Ld. APP for the State (*Physically*).

On behalf of the complainant Narender Singh Parmar, Ld.
Counsel Mr. Anil Kumar Shukla (*Physically*).

Accused Rajendra Bharti (*Physically*) along with Ld.
Counsel Sh. Santosh Chaurihaa (*Physically*).

Accused Raghuvir Sharan Prajapati (*Physically*) along with
Ld. counsel Sh. H. K. Shekhar and Mr. Siddharth Chaudhary
(*Physically*).

Proceedings against accused Savitri already **abated** vide
order **dated 19.01.2019**.

DW3 Raghuvir Sharan Prajapati present and examined in
chief. His cross-examination is deferred since Ld. Prosecutor for the State
submits that he needs time to prepare for cross-examination of the
accused/ DW and he would cross-examine both the accused after
examination in chief of both of them gets completed.

Though accused Rajendra Bharti who also sought permission
to appear as a witness, which permission was granted on 20.12.2025, is
present physically but an application is filed today by him seeking
adjournment. Heard on the said application. In the application it is claimed
that the accused Rajendra Bharti is a senior citizen aged about 67 years
suffering from heart ailment since 2021 and is on pace maker and his
angioplasty has been done. It is also claimed that the applicant suffered
from ventricular tachyarryethmia and therefore he is suffering from

anxiety and stress and is unable to concentrate. It is also claimed that he is unable to read the documents properly and is not in a fit state of mind to depose today. Copies of some medical documents also attached with the application, which has been perused. However, admittedly there is no medical rest advised to the accused.

When this Court was not inclined to allow this application, the accused Rajendra Bharti and his counsel repeatedly kept on requesting that one or two weeks' time be granted for recording deposition of Rajendra Bharti. When this Court was not inclined to adjourn the matter for 2 weeks, initially the counsel for accused also stated that the matter may be kept for coming Monday the date already fixed for evidence. However, the accused kept on requesting that one or two weeks of time be given. The counsel for accused Rajendra Bharti and the accused himself also assured that the accused would certainly appear whenever the date is fixed after a week and he would not seek any further adjournment on any ground whatsoever including on the ground that the session of Madhya Pradesh State Assembly would begin from Monday.

The Ld. Prosecutor even pointed out that this accused appeared in some political function and he gave some speech very recently just few days ago and therefore the request of the applicant should be declined.

Anyhow, on the assurance of the accused Rajendra Bharti and on his request that he would not seek any further time or adjournment for his evidence and he would definitely appear on the next date which this Court may fix after a week from today, one week time is given to the accused as a last opportunity. It is made adequately clear to the accused and his counsel by this Court that it is on the request of the accused and his assurance that he would not seek adjournment, a final opportunity is

being given and in case the accused doesn't appear for his evidence on the date to be fixed by this Court after a week, this Court would have no option left but to close his evidence.

Put up this matter for examination-in-chief of Accused Rajendra Bharti on **23.02.2026 at 12 noon**. Thereafter for cross-examination of both the accused on **26.02.2026**. The date of 27.02.2026 is kept as a reserve date.

Needless to repeat that no adjournment request by Rajendra Bharti shall be entertained on 23.02.2026, as he has himself assured that he shall appear physically before this Court on the next date, and even the time of 12 noon is fixed as per his convenience and his counsel's convenience, and that he shall not seek any exemption even on the ground of on going session of Assembly or on any other reason. It being a time bound matter by the directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court, the commitment has to be honored by the accused and this Court is also obligated to complete the trial within the specified time which is approaching in the beginning of April. IA no.7/26 accordingly stands disposed off.

Date of 16.02.2026 is canceled.

Accused Rajendra Bharti once again to remain physically present on 23.02.2026 and thereafter both the accused to remain physically present on 26.02.2026. No exemption shall be entertained and they are also cautioned not to try to delay the trial in any manner, failing which consequences would follow.

(Dig Vinay Singh)
Special Judge (PC Act) (CBI)-09
(MPs/MLAs cases), RACC, New Delhi_(m)