

E.P.No. 28/2022

in

O.S.No. 7/2020

Order date. 05.11.2022

Order pronounced.

Heard the counsel for the petitioner. Perused the records.

2. This execution petition has been filed by the petitioner/decreed holder seeking to execute the money decree passed on 07.09.2021 by way of sale of the attached property.

3. Admittedly the petitioner/decreed holder filed the main suit for recovery of money from the respondent/Judgment debtor and the said suit was decreed on 07.09.2021 directing the respondent to pay the decree amount with cost. During the pendency of the suit the property belongs to the respondent is attached before judgment on 06.11.2020.

4. Now the petitioner has filed this Execution Petition to execute the money decree by way of sale of the said attached property. Though notices were served on the judgment

debtor, he did not appear and did not file their objections as to why the decree should not be executed. Hence there is no objections on the side of the respondent/judgment debtor as to why the execution petition should not be allowed. Therefore there is absolutely no reason as to why the decree should not be executed. Hence this court of the view of the execution petition is liable to be allowed .

In the result this execution petition is allowed with cost. Test by 2 .12.2022.

Sd/-

Sub Judge
Eraniel