

MHAU010106952025



**Criminal Bail Application No.2534/2025**  
**Puneet Shandilya Vinod Sharma Vs. The State**

**Order below Exh.1**  
(Passed on : 07.01.2026)

1. This bail application is preferred by applicant/accused under section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, seeking relief of anticipatory bail in connection with Crime No. 696/2025 registered with Police Station CIDCO, Aurangabad, for the offence punishable under sections 214, 336(2), 336(3), 339, 318(4) of BNS, 2023.

2. Heard learned advocate Mr.P.S. Rathi for applicant/accused and learned APP Mr.D.R.Kathule for prosecution. Perused the say of prosecution vide Exh.5.

3. It is submitted on behalf of the applicant/accused that, Investigating Officer has issued notice under section 189 of BNS, 2023 on 25.11.2025 and 01.12.2025 to him. So he has apprehension of arrest. He is innocent, educated person and has not committed or involved in alleged offence and falsely implicated in this matter. He is permanent resident of Hariyana, would not flee away. He has no role in the present crime. He is also one of the victim. The lady accused posed him as an IAS officer, will help him in Government work and played fraud. Nothing is remained to be

recovered at the behest of present applicant. Therefore, physical custody of the applicant is not required. He has no criminal antecedents. The applicant/accused is ready to abide by all the terms and conditions of bail and ready to co-operate the police machinery during investigation, if any. Therefore, prayed for releasing him on bail.

4. The learned APP has filed say vide Exh.5 and objected the application on the ground that, offence is serious in nature. Accused has contacted with main accused on email and whatsapp messages, so also has Phonepay transactions and traveled with the accused for Matrix company's work. The investigation in respect of present accused is remained and therefore, his physical custody is required. Hence, prayed to reject the application.

5. Perused the case diary, also gone through the papers on record. It is seen that report was lodged by informant alleging that, on the basis of secret information, on 22.11.2025 at about 8.00 p.m. along with others effected raid at Hotel Ambassador and accused Kalpana Trimbakrao Bhatwat was found staying in the said hotel since last six months and her aadhar card has overwriting which led to the inference that it was forged and bogus. They also found appointment order in IAS as per civil services examination 2017, Vivo company mobile with Vi company's SIM card. The accused prepared forged documents and cheated the Government. Hence, the report.

6. During the investigation it is found that the accused has links with other international accused and doing anti-national activities. Accused Kalpna has contacted the present accused through email, whatsapp and had monetary transactions in respect of Matrix company. The relation of present accused with the above transactions and company is yet to be investigated. The investigation is at preliminary stage. The documents in respect of transactions are to be seized by the investigation machinery. The police has sought physical custody of applicant / accused for thorough investigation. The allegations against the applicant / accused are serious in nature, complicity of the applicant / accused can be gathered from the papers on record and he was not available for investigation. For proper investigation and fair opportunity to prosecution, I think the physical custody of the applicant / accused with the police is necessary. Hence, the following order :-

**ORDER**

- 1] Application Exh.1 is rejected.
- 2] Inform concerned police station accordingly.

Date : 07.01.2026

( **A.S. Wairagade** )  
Additional Sessions Judge-8,  
Aurangabad.