

Daljit Singh vs DDA	PPA no. 16/2018
Raghubir Singh vs DDA	PPA no. 17/2018
H.S. Bawa vs DDA	PPA no. 18/2018
Gulshan Kumar vs DDA	PPA no. 19/2018
Ravi Shankar vs DDA	PPA no. 20/2018
Promila Bedi vs DDA	PPA no. 21/2018

12.07.2022

Present: Shri Deepak Dewan, counsel for appellant
Shri Anupam Sharma and Piyush Jain, counsel for respondent

Despite last order, the respondent DDA has not produced the originals of the photocopied documents referred to in last order. Those documents are significant because according to the same, earlier also the appellants had received notices under Section 4 Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorized Occupants) Act and according to the appellants, such notices cannot be issued more than once without taking the proceedings to logical conclusion. However, on this learned counsel for respondent contends that the said ground is not a part of pleadings and there is no bar on issuing notices under Section 4 of the Act more than once. This is to be considered after examination of those documents, especially because it is a legal issue and further contentions of appellants recorded in last order is that DDA had concealed those documents.

Respondent is again directed to produce the original documents in terms with last order by the next date. The interim orders shall continue till next date.

Relist for final arguments on 05.09.2022.

(GIRISH KATHPALIA)
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE (HQs)
DELHI/12.07.2022