

**IN THE COURT OF THE I ADDITIONAL DISTRICT MUNSIF,
NAGERCOIL.**

Present: **Tmt. K. Chithra, B.A., B.L., (Hons)**
I Additional District Munsif,(FAC) Nagercoil.

Thursday, on this the 13th day of November, 2025

IA. No.9 of 2025
1n
OS.No. 252 of 2016

1. Rajakani

2. Kavitha

3. Selvi

4. Suyambukani

... Petitioners/Defendants

-vs-

Elisapeth mery

... Respondent/Plaintiff

This petition came up before this Court on 13.11.2025 in the presence of Thiru.S. MuthuKaruppa pillai, Advocate for the Petitioners/Defendants, and Thiru. K. Subhakaravel Advocate for the Respondent/Plaintiff and upon perusing the case records and having stood over for Consideration till this day, this Court delivers the following:

ORDER

1. This petition was filed under order VIII Rule 3 and Section 151 Code of Civil Procedure seeking to receive the documents hereunder and that may be marked on the Petitioners / Defendants side.

2. This petition was filed under order 8 Rule 3 Code of civil procedure to raise listed documents as additional evidence on different side.

3. The Respondent in his counter alleged that the reason for delay is not a credible one. The listed documents are photocopies prayed to dismiss on perusal of case records. It is found that the listed documents are photocopies. Where are admissible in evidence. This contention is found genuine.

4. The Respondent alleged that 3rd document is declared by competent court as null and void and objected to receive in evidence. The petitioner did not explain any explanation for such allegation.

5. Interest of Justice it is allowed with respect of listed documents no. 1, 2 , 4 to 10 subject to production of with original of certified copy and it is dismissed parties with respect to listed 3rd document. No costs.

Hand written in the Docket and pronounced by me in Open Court this, the 13th day of October 2025.

Sd/-(K. Chithra)

I Additional District Munsif(FAC)
Nagercoil.

I.A.9/2025
OS.252/2016
Draft/Fair Order
Dated:13.11.2025

