

11.12.2020

Today, undersigned is also working as Duty MM.

Matter is taken up today through video-conferencing via Cisco Webex.

Present : Sh. Lalit Pingolia, Ld. APP for the State (through VC)
IO/Inspector Karan Singh Rana (through VC)
DCP (Railways) Harender Singh (through VC)
Inspector Gyaneshwar Singh (through VC)
Sh. Mohd. Ashaab, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the complainant (through VC)
Sh. Ajay Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for accused no.1 Amanatullaha
Khan (through VC)
Ms. Akriti Mishra, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 2 Prakash Jarwal
(through VC)
Sh. Mohd. Irshad, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 3 Arvind Kejriwal and
accused no. 4 Manish Sisodia (through VC)
Sh. Badar Mahmood, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 5 Rajesh Rishi
(through VC)
Sh. Bhavook Chauhan and Sh. Tushar Yadav, Ld. Counsels for
accused no. 6 Nitin Tyagi (through VC)
Sh. Mujeeb Ahmad, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 7 Praveen
Kumar (through VC)
Ms. Usha Sharma, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 8 Ajay Dutt (through
VC)
Sh. Murari Kumar, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 9 Sanjeev Jha (through
VC)
Sh. S. P. Kaushal, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 10 Rituraj Govind
(through VC)

Contd.....2/-

Sh. Yogesh Aggarwal and Sh. Vikas Nagwan, Ld. Counsels for accused no. 11 Rajesh Gupta (through VC)

Sh. Vijay Bishnoi, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 12 Madan Lal (through VC)

Sh. Anil Tomar, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 13 Dinesh Mohina (through VC)

Accused no.1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 13 are present (through VC)

It is informed by the Reader of this Court that exemption applications seeking exemption of accused no.3, accused no.4, accused no. 11 and 12 respectively, filed through their Ld. Counsel, have been received through electronic mode, seeking their exemptions from personal appearance for today. Let printout of the same be taken and placed on record. The aforesaid accused are exempted from their personal appearance for today.

Matter is at the stage of arguments on charge. However, Ld. APP for State submits that since the record of the case file is voluminous, which comprises of approximately 1300 pages and many documents are required to be tallied with the assistance of IO and he needs at least two weeks more time to go through the case file and address arguments on the point of charge.

In view of aforesaid and request made by Ld. APP for State, it is made clear that Ld. APP for State to come prepared for addressing arguments on the point of charge positively on the next date of hearing and no further opportunity shall be granted in this regard.

At this stage, date of 24.12.2020 as next date of hearing is proposed to both the sides, however, it is submitted by Ld. Counsel Sh. Mohd. Irshad that Ld. Sr. Advocate Sh. N. Hariharan and Sh. Dayan Krishnan, for accused no. 3 and 4 would not be available being out of station after 20.12.2020 and therefore, requests for the next date of hearing in the first week of January, 2021.

Contd.....3/-

In view of aforesaid, matter be listed for arguments on charge on 06.01.2021 at 11.00 AM, through VC.

At this stage, Sh. Kumar Vaibhav, Ld. Counsel for the complainant has also appeared through VC and requests for a short date.

However, in view of the request made by Ld. APP for State and non-availability of Ld. Sr. Advocate for accused no. 3 and 4, as aforesaid, let the matter be listed for arguments on charge on date already given i.e 06.01.2021 at 11.00 AM.

Copy of this order be given to Ld. Counsel for complainant, through electronic mode, as requested.

(SACHIN GUPTA)
ACMM-03/RADC,
New Delhi/11.12.2020