

**IN THE COURT OF THE II ADDITIONAL DISTRICT MUNSIF,
NAGERCOIL.**

Present : Selvi. V. Sivaranjani, B.A., L.L.B.,

II Additional District Munsif, Nagercoil

Tuesday, on the 14th day of October, 2025.

I.A. No.11 of 2025 in O.S.No.67 of 2017

CNR No.TNKK04-000103-2017

Veerananthan

(For and on behalf of the Plot owners

of R.S.No.537/2G of Kanyakumari Village)

... Petitioner / Plaintiff

-vs-

1. M. Gopalakrishnan

2. M. Krishna Balan

3. L. Kumar

4. Wilson Selvaraj

5. The Executive Officer,

Kanniyakumari Town Panchayath

6. Kadamban

7. G. Karuppasamy

... Respondents / Defendants

1 to 5 & Addl. Plaintiffs

2 and 3

This Petition came up before this Court on 22.09.2025 for a final hearing in the presence of Mr. V. Kumaran Nair, learned Counsel for the Petitioner and Mr.N. Retnasamy, learned Counsel for the 1st Respondent, endorsed 'No counter' and Mr. T. Felix, learned Counsel for the 2nd Respondent, counter not filed, set

exparte and Mr. A. Gnanasekar, learned Counsel for the 5th Respondent, endorsed 'No counter' and Mr. P. Selvaraj, learned Counsel for the 6th and 7th respondents, counter not filed, set exparte and 3rd and 4th respondents were set exparte in the suit and upon hearing both sides, perusing the case records, and having stood over for consideration till this day, this court hereby delivers the following:

ORDER

The Petitioner had filed under Order VI Rule 17 and Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, seeking to amend the plaint.

2. Gist of Averments in the Petitioner's Petition :

The petitioner is the plaintiff in the above suit and the deponent herein. The 5th defendant in this case is the Executive Officer of the Kanyakumari Town Panchayath. The advocate of the 5th defendant has filed a memo before this court on 01.08.2025 stating that the Kanyakumari Town Panchayath has been upgraded to Kanyakumari Municipality. The 5th defendant has to be amended accordingly as described in the accompanying application. Hence, this petition is to be allowed.

3. The 1st and 5th Respondent's Counsel endorsed "No counter" in this petition.

4. The point for consideration is whether the above petition has to be allowed or not?

5 . Point:

Heard the petitioner counsel. Records perused. The petitioner is the plaintiff in the above suit. The 5th defendant in the suit is the Executive Officer of the Kanyakumari Town Panchayat. Since, the advocate of the 5th defendant filed memo stated that the Kanyakumari Town Panchayat has been upgraded to Kanyakumari Municipality, it is necessary to amend the 5th defendant from Kanyakumari Town Panchayath to Kanyakumari Municipality. In the light of the above facts, this court is inclined to allow this petition.

In the result, this petition is allowed. No cost.

Dictated to the steno-typist, typed by her in the Computer directly, printed, corrected and pronounced by me in open court this the 14th day of October, 2025.

II Additional District Munsif
Nagercoil.

List of witness and documents for Petitioners side : Nil

List of witness and documents for Respondents side : Nil

II Additional District Munsif
Nagercoil.

*II ADM, Nagercoil.
Draft/Fair Order
I.A.No.11/2025 in
O.S.No.67/2017
Date: 14.10.2025.*