

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT MUNSIF,
NAGERCOIL.**

Present : Tmt. M.Alima, B.A., L.L.M.,

Principal District Munsif, Nagercoil.

Monday, on the 17th day of March, 2025

I.A. Nos. 13 of 2025 in

O.S. No. 87 of 2016

1. Mononmani

2. Ramya

3. Rahul ... Petitioners / 1, 3, 4 defendants

-vs-

1. Sasi Kumar

2. Ramesh Raja

3. Bala Chandran

4. Nishanthini ... Respondents / Plaintiffs

This petition came before this court on 15.03.2025, for a final hearing in the presence of Mr. P. Asarutheen, Advocate for the Petitioners/ 1, 3, 4 defendants, and Mr. Advocate P.D. Gopan for the Respondents / Plaintiffs and upon hearing both sides, perusing the case records, and having stood over for consideration till this day, this court hereby delivers the following:

ORDER

The Petitioner had filed under Order VIII Rule 1(3) and Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, seeking to accept the documents which were produced today and render justice.

2. Gist of Averments in the Petitioners Petition :

The Petitioner is the 1st defendant in the above suit. The petitioner have filed this affidavit for petitioners behalf and on behalf on 3rd and 4th defendant's who are petitioner's daughter and son. Today the above case posted petitioners side evidence. Today. Petitioners have filed the photo copy of the petition schedule property and CD. The documents which were produced today are highly necessary for the proper disposal of the case. If the documents produced today are not accepted, petitioners will be put to irreparable loss and hardship. So, it is just and necessary that this court to accept the documents which were produced today. Hence, the petition is to be allowed.

3) Gist of Averments in the Respondent/Plaintiffs Counter:

The Respondents/Plaintiffs have filed counter stating that all the allegations made by the defendant in the petition are strongly by the plaintiff. All the photographs filed by the defendant are irrelevant to the case. Divert the nature of the case, it is a needless fake document produced for a fictitious and false logic to delay the case. Hence to accept the counter and dismissed the petition.

4. The point for consideration is whether the above petition has to be allowed or not?

5. Point:

Heard both sides. Records perused. This petition has been filed by the Petitioners / 1, 3, 4 defendants, while the original case has been adjourned for 1, 3, 4 defendants side evidence. Although the Petitioners / 1, 3, 4 defendants have not given proper reasons for not filing the documents promptly, this court considers that the documents filed with the petitioners petition are necessary to decide the original case and to give the petitioners sufficient opportunity to prove the case. Hence, this court inclined to allow this petition without cost.

6) As a result,

This petition is allowed, subject to the proof and relevance of the said documents, without cost.

Dictated to the steno-typist, typed by her in the Computer directly, printed, corrected and pronounced by me in open court this the 17th day of March, 2025.

Principal District Munsif,
Nagercoil.

List of witness and documents for Petitioners side : Nil

List of witness and documents for Respondents side : Nil

Principal District Munsif,
Nagercoil.

*PDM, Nagercoil.
Draft/Fair Order
I.A.No.13/2025 in
O.S.No.87/2016
Date: 17.03.2025.*

