

In the Court of Principal District Judge, Kanyakumari District
at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.,
Principal District Judge.

Thursday, the 22nd day of January, 2026.

I.A. Nos. 7/2026, 8/2026 and 9/2026

in O.S. No.291/2022

Arokia Dhas

... Petitioner/Plaintiff in all
petitions

-Vs-

1. Yesurethinam

2. Gracelight

3. Mercy Jacquelin

4. Santhi Pusphavalli

... Respondents/Defendants in
all petitions

These three petitions are coming on today for hearing before
me in the presence of

Thiru M. Vignesh

- Advocate for the petitioner in
all petitions

Thiru C. John Sobanam

- Advocate for the 1st Respondent
in all petitions

Thiru A. Jegan Lal

- Advocate for the Respondents
3 & 4 in all petitions

Exparte

- 2nd respondent in all petitions

and upon hearing both sides and upon perusing the materials available on
record, this court delivered the following:

COMMON ORDER

IA No.7/2026 has been filed by the petitioner/plaintiff for reopen the suit which has been reserved for Judgment.

2. IA No.8/2026 has been filed by the petitioner/plaintiff praying for recall of PW1 for further evidence.

3. IA 9/2026 has been filed by the petitioner/plaintiff praying for permission to receive additional documents.

4. Upon notice, the 3rd respondent filed a counter statement in and by which it would be contended that the documents mentioned in the petition are no way connected to this suit and those are unnecessary to the suit. Further it would be contended that already this court had given sufficient time to the petitioner to produce the documents and time and now the case was posted for Judgment. Only to drag on the proceedings, these petitions have been filed. Claiming thus, the petitions may be dismissed.

5. **The Point for consideration that arises is :-**

(i) Whether these petitions deserve to be allowed?

6. **Point No.(i):-**

Considering that the matter has been reserved for Judgment and the petitioner seeks to recall P.W.1. and also permission to receive additional

documents, the matter requires to be reopened and therefore I.A.No.7/2026 deserves to be allowed.

7. Insofar as I.A.Nos. 8/2026 and 9/2026 are concerned, the petitioner in his affidavit has stated that for the purpose of clarity of additional documents required to be filed in the suit and he came to know about the said documents only recently, for which he has filed a petition before the Sub Registrar's Office and obtained the documents. The said reason appears believable. In any case, it would be in the interest of justice, to receive the documents are brought before the court for a wholesome disposal of the disputes. Considering that even at the appellate stage, if the appellant is able to convince the court, he can produce additional documents, it would be appropriate to receive the same at the earliest stage. As such, this court is of the view that I.A.Nos. 8/2026 and 9/2026 deserve to be allowed. However, considering the inconvenience caused to the respondents, the petitioner shall pay appropriate costs to them.

8. In the result, all the petitions are allowed on condition that the petitioner pays a sum of Rs.1,000/- (Rupees One Thousand only) to the respondents 1 to 3 through their counsel (Rs. 500/- to the 1st respondent and Rs.500/- to the respondents 2 and 3) on or before 03.02.2026 failing which

all the petitions shall stand dismissed. Call on 04.02.2026.

Dictated to the Steno-typist, transcribed and typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in open court, this the 22nd day of January, 2026.

Sd/-B.Karthikeyan
Principal District Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

Principal District Court,
Nagercoil.
Fair Common Order in
I.A.Nos.7, 8 and 9/2026 in
O.S. No.291/2022
Dt.: 22.01.2026