

In the Court of Principal Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District
at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru K. Dhanasekaran, M.L., L.L.M.
Principal Sessions Judge.

Wednesday, the 10th day of June, 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.1551/2026
(CNR.No.TNKK01-002454- 2026)

Venkatesh S/o. Pechinathapillai (A2)

... Petitioner

/Vs./

The State of Tamil Nadu,

Through the Inspector of Police,

Government Railway Police Station, Nagercoil,

Crime No.50/2026 of Government Railway Police Station, Nagercoil,

Rep. by P.P. Nagercoil.

...Respondent

This petition has been filed by the Legal Aid Defense Counsel
S.P. Ashika Prasad, u/s 483 of BNSS, praying to grant bail to the petitioner.

ORDER

Heard both sides. Perused the petition.

2. The petitioner/accused is alleged to have committed the offence u/s
109(1), 118(1), 191(2), 191(3) r/w 3(5) of BNS.

3. The case of prosecution is that on 17.05.2026 at about 8.00 P.M.,
the petitioner along with other persons assaulted the defacto complainant's son with
aruval and wooden log and caused multiple injuries.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the offences alleged against him and he is in no way connected with the alleged occurrence and the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case, since he is the friend of A4 and the petitioner was arrested and has been under judicial custody from 19.05.2026 and the co-accused/A3 was granted bail by this court in CrI.M.P. No.1560/2026, dated 09.06.2026 and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that on 17.05.2026, this petitioner and seven other accused inflicted multiple cut injuries with aruval on the son of the defacto complainant and he sustained severe injury and the injured was discharged from the hospital and this petitioner and A3 were arrested on 18.05.2026 and the other accused are still absconding and the investigation of the case is not yet over and the co-accused was granted bail by this court and in the event of considering this application, the same condition may be imposed on the petitioner.

6. On considering the rival submissions raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor, it reveals that the prosecution alleged against the accused that on 17.05.2026, they assaulted the victim with aruval and caused severe injuries to him. The learned Public Prosecutor fairly concedes that the injured was discharged from the hospital. Considering the above and also the period of incarceration of the petitioner/accused i.e. for the past 22 days, I am of the view that it is a fit case for granting bail to the petitioner with conditions.

7. In the result, the petitioner/Accused is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate No.I, Nagercoil and after release the petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders and accordingly this petition is allowed.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is with in his discretion to approach the court of the learned Judicial Magistrate for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in *P.K.Shaji /Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560*.

Pronounced by me in open court this the 10th day of June, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Nagercoil. (through e-Mode)
The Secretary, District Legal Services Authority, Nagercoil.
(through e-Mode)
The Inspector of Police, Government Railway Police Station, Nagercoil,
(through court cell e-Mode)
The Superintendent, District Jail, Nagercoil.(through e-mode)
The counsel for the petitioner.