

In the Court of Principal Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District at  
Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.,  
Principal Sessions Judge.

Thursday, the 12<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

CrI.M.P. No.816/2026

(CNR.No.TNKK01-001264-2026)

J.P. Jobin S/o. Johnson

.. Petitioner

/Vs./

The State of Tamil Nadu,

Through the Sub Inspector of Police,

Marthandam Police Station,

Crime No.90/2026 of Marthandam Police Station,

Rep. by Public Prosecutor, Nagercoil.

..Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru T. Santhosh Kumar, u/s  
482 of BNSS, praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

ORDER

Heard both side. Perused the petition.

2. The petitioner/accused is alleged to have committed the  
offences u/s 191(2), 191(3), 329(4), 296(b), 115(2) and 351(3) of BNS and  
Sec.3(1) of TNPPDL Act.

3. The case of the prosecution is that on 14.02.2026 at 12.20  
A.M., five unknown persons were standing on the backside of St. Antony's

Church at Vettumoni with deadly weapons and Bolero vehicle bearing Regn. No.TN75 S 3487 was parked therein and the accused persons by showing the deadly weapons, threatened the defacto complainant and his men and abused them, and attempted to assault them and damaged the above car and caused damages to a tune of Rs.50,000/-. Hence, the charge.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and the petitioner is in no way connected with the alleged occurrence and he never caused any damage to the car and the name of the petitioner is not found in the FIR, but the police is suspecting and searching the petitioner and nothing had happened as alleged in the FIR and the investigation of the case is almost over and the petitioner never involved in any such offence and no other cases pending against him and this is the 2<sup>nd</sup> application and the earlier application in Crl.M.P. No.646/2026 was dismissed by this court on 26.02.2026 on the ground that the petitioner and other accused involved in two other cases, but the petitioner has not involved in any other offences as stated by the respondent police and there is change in circumstances and the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that after committing offence in Crime No.25/2026 of Palugal Police Station, all the accused concealed a lonely place and at that time, the police party went there and on seeing the police party, they ran away from the

scene of occurrence and then on 14.02.2026 at 12.20 A.M., this petitioner and three other accused trespassed into the Church compound and assaulted the watchman and damaged a car with deadly weapons and threatened the defacto complainant. Total damages is Rs.50,000/-. The accused committed offences in two other cases including this case consecutively. Two of the accused were arrested by the Kollencode Police and they have to be remanded in this case under PT warrant and the investigation is not yet over and the earlier application was dismissed only on 26.02.2026 and there is no change in circumstances and he has serious objection to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner and the petition may be dismissed.

6. Considered the argument of the learned Public Prosecutor. After elaborate discussion, the earlier application in Crl.M.P. No.646/2026 was dismissed on 26.02.2026 on the ground that the investigation is in the preliminary stage. Considering the fact that there is no change in circumstances, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner/accused at this stage.

7. In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court this the 12<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,  
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.