

In the Court of Principal Sessions Judge, Kanniyakumari District
at Nagercoil.

Present : Thiru B. Karthikeyan, B.L.,
Principal Sessions Judge.

Wednesday, the 11th day of March, 2026.

CrI.M.P. No. 742/2026

(CNR.No.TNKK01-001175-2026)

1. Selvin Jeya Kumar @ Kumar, (A1)
S/o. Selvaraj
2. Makesh, S/o Sam Abraham (A2)
3. Ajin Prasath, S/o Paulraj (A3) ... Petitioners

/Vs./

State of Tamil Nadu,
Through the Sub Inspector of Police,
Kotticode Police Station,
Crime No. 1/2026 of Kotticode Police Station,
Rep. by Public Prosecutor, Nagercoil. ... Respondent

This petition is filed by Advocate Thiru A. Paulraj, u/s 482 of
BNSS praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

ORDER

Heard both side. Perused the petition.

2. The petitioners/accused are alleged to have committed the offence u/s 126(2), 296(b), 118(1), 308(4) and 351(3) of BNS.

3. The case of the prosecution is that on 29.12.2025 around 11.30 P.M., the accused wrongfully restrained the defacto complainant by using filthy words, assaulted him, caused injuries and criminally intimidated him. Hence the charge.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they are in no way connected with this case and the injured was discharged from the hospital and the petitioners are ready to abide by any condition and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application and submitted that on 29.12.2025, the petitioner waylaid the defacto complainant and demanded money. Then the 1st accused assaulted on the face of the defacto complainant and A2 and A3 assaulted the defacto complainant with iron chain on the right leg of the defacto complainant and the injured was discharged from the hospital and the investigation is not yet over and the 1st accused has one previous case and he has serious objection to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners and the petition may be dismissed.

6. Considering the nature of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioners/accused and though the learned Public Prosecutor objected that the investigation is not yet over, considering the fact

that the injured was discharged from the hospital, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners/Accused with conditions.

7. In the result, in the event of arrest or on their appearing before the court concerned the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No.I, Padmanabhapuram subject to the following conditions:-

- i. The petitioners shall appear before the court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.
- ii. After release, the petitioners shall appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 A.M. until further orders.
- iii. The petitioners shall also make themselves available before the respondent as and when required.
- iv. The petitioners shall not tamper with the witnesses or in any manner interfere with or put obstacle to the smooth progress of investigation.
- v. The petitioners shall not leave the jurisdictional police limit without prior permission.

If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is with in his discretion to approach the court of the learned Judicial Magistrate for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in *P.K.Shaji /Vs./ State*

of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.

Pronounced by me in open court this the 11th day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge
Kanniyakumari at Nagercoil.

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Padmanabhapuram. (through e-mode)
The Sub Inspector of Police, Kotticode Police Station.
(through court cell e-mode)
The counsel for the petitioners.