



IN THE COURT OF THE ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, KRISHNAGIRI

**Present: Tr.V.Damodaran, B.L.M., M.L.,
Additional Sessions Judge, Krishnagiri**

This the Tuesday, of 28th April, 2026

SESSIONS CASE No:264/2023

CNR No.TNKI01-006546-2023

**(P.R.C.No.21/1999 of District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Denkanikottai
in Crime No:184/1997 of Thally Police Station)**

1.Name of the Complainant:	Inspector of Police, Thally P.S., Cr.No: 184/1997
2.Name of the Accused and address details:	1) Narayana @ Narayanasamy (20/1997), S/o.Hanumanthappa, Oddarpalayam, Thally Post. 2) Vijayakumar @ Viji (22/1997), S/o.Nagaraj, Parapana Agraharam, Begur Hubli. 3) Dhanappan (40/1997), (Died) S/o.Dhasappa, D.No.2/4, Kanikkar Street, Thally.
3. The period of Remand of the accused	<u>Accused Remanded on</u> A1 – 25.08.1997 A2 – 03.05.1997 <u>Accused released on bail</u> A1– 29.01.1998 A2 –23.09.1997
4. The date of filing of the complaint/final report in the Court	Complaint filed on 02.05.1997 Final report filed on 25.03.1999
5. The date of committal of the case to	14.09.2009



the Court of Sessions			
6. Name of the trial Court	District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Denkanikottai		
7. Charges framed against Accused	<u>charge: Against Accused A1</u> u/s. 120(B), 302 r/w 34 of IPC <u>charge: Against Accused A2</u> u/s. 302 r/w 34 of IPC		
8. Charges framed against on	19.02.2024		
9. Plea of Accused	Not guilty		
10. Filing of all miscellaneous petitions and their result including the results on challenge before Superior Courts; except routine petitions like petitions under section 317 of the Code	NIL		
11. Date of examination of Chief and Cross examination of a witness	Witness	Chief	Cross
	P.W.1	12.09.2024	--
	P.W.2	19.11.2024	--
	P.W.3	19.11.2024	--
	P.W.4	19.11.2024	--
	P.W.5	30.06.2025	--
	P.W.6	08.07.2025	--
	P.W.7	08.07.2025	--
	P.W.8	25.11.2025	25.11.2025
	P.W.9	25.11.2025	--
	P.W.10	17.03.2026	17.03.2026
12. Date of examination of the accused Sec. 313 (1) (b) Cr.P.C.	09.04.2026		
13.Details of abscondence of an accused and his appearance/ Productions, as the case may be; and	NIL		



14. Grant of stay by Superior Courts and the results thereof	NIL
15. Finding of the Court	Accused found not guilty.
16. Sentence or Order	<p>In the result, A1 found not guilty for the offence u/s.120(B), 302 r/w 34 of IPC, A2 found not guilty for the offence u/s. 302 r/w 34 of IPC, accordingly the accused A1 and A2 were acquitted from the above charges in terms of under Sec.235(1) of Cr.P.C., and they are ordered to be set at liberty. The bail bond if any executed by the A1 and A2 accused are ordered to be cancelled.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Property Order: (C.P.No.9/2025, dated 26.02.2025)</u></p> <p>M.O-1 to M.O-6, M.O-10 to M.O-14 and M.O.-19 to M.O-21 were ordered to be destroyed after appeal time is over. M.O-7 to M.O-9 are ordered to be kept with records. M.O-15 (A Gold chain weigh about 12 gms mentioned about joint circle RK,K,KA), M.O-16 (A</p>



	bloodstained Gold ring weigh about 7 gms), M.O-17 (A silver hip chain length about 5 feet 10 ankanams), M.O-18 (A square shape Titan Watch 1) were already handed over to the PW2 Pushpa, wife of the deceased Gopal, as per order of District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Denkanikottai, dated 19.11.2012, are ordered to be retained forever and the bond executed shall stands dismissed, after appeal time is over.
17. Counsel for the State	Thiru.C.Kumaravel
18. Counsels for the defence	Thiru. V. Balaji
19.Date of Argument	21.04.2026
20.Date of Judgment	28.04.2026

This case coming before me for final hearing on 21.04.2026 in the presence of Thiru.C.Kumaravel, Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the state and Thiru. Thiru. V. Balaji, Advocate appearing for the accused A-1 and A2 and on hearing the argument of both sides, and the evidence let in and documents filed and having stood



over till this day for consideration, this court deliver the following:

J U D G M E N T

The Inspector of police, Denkanikottai Police Station laid charge sheet as against the accused, stating that on 2.05.1997, A1 and A3 accused hatched criminal conspiracy by agreeing themselves to commit murder of one Gopal due to the fact that Gopal having illicit intimacy with A3's wife Allirani and in furtherance of said criminal conspiracy, A1 to A3 at about 17.30 hours at Periyakodipalli Road near Upparappalli within the jurisdiction of Thalli Police Station, A1 and A2 intentionally knowing causing death of said Gopal, A1 stabbed Gopal with his knife on his cheek, right eyebrow, chest, thigh, stomach. A2 with a stone attacked on his head, as a result of Gopal, died due to sudden shock and hemorrhage. Hence, the charge sheet for the offence u/s. 120(B), 302 r/w 34 of IPC.

2) Finding prima facie case u/s. 120(B), 302 r/w 34 of IPC is made out against the accused A1 and A2 the learned District Munsif-cum- Judicial Magistrate, Denkanikottai had taken the case on file as P.R.C.No.21/1999 and after serving of copies as mandated by Section 207 of Cr.P.C had committed the case to Principal District and Sessions Court, Krishnagiri, as the offence involved is exclusively triable only by court of sessions.

3) The Principal Sessions Court, Krishnagiri has taken the case on file as S.C.No.264/2023 and made over the case to the Additional District Court, Krishnagiri for disposal according to law. This court after perusing the entire case records and hearing the arguments on both sides framed charges against the A1 u/s. 120(B), 302



r/w 34 of IPC, charges against the A2 u/s. 302 r/w 34 of IPC.

4) The A1 and A2 accused denied the charge which was read over and explained to them in Tamil and they did not plead guilty and claimed to be tried. Hence the case was adjourned for the examination of the prosecution witnesses. Summons were issued to the prosecution witnesses.

5) From the side of prosecution, totally 37 witnesses cited, out of which 10 witnesses were examined. Prosecution marked Ex.P-1 to Ex.P-21 documents, M.O-1 to M.O-21 in support of their case.

The evidence of the Prosecution witnesses is as follows:-

6) It is seen from the witness's deposition that PW1 Narayana Shetty, is at presently residing at Thenanthur village and when he served as a Village Administrative Officer at Periyamadagundappalli on 02.05.1997 at about 8.00 P.M., he received an information from the police with respect of one murder and the said murder took place at Upparappalli, Periyakodipalli Road and on receipt of the information, PW1 started from his house and reached the place where the body was kept and the police has obtained his signature in the said complaint and PW1 further said that the deceased name was Gopi and did not know from which place the said person was murdered. But PW1 admitted the signature of the complaint was put up by him and the signature in the complaint alone was marked as Ex.P-1.

7) PW10 Tr. Vilvarani Murugan, deposed before the court on 03.05.1997 at about 6.00 A.M., in the morning, he has received FIR with respect of Cr.No.



184/1997, u/s. 302 of IPC and taken up the case for investigation. PW10 further states that earlier the Sub-Inspector of police, Tr. Munusamy received a complaint from Village Administrative Officer Narayana Shetty and registered a case and the complaint, the FIR, was marked as Ex.P-6 and Ex.P-7 respectively. On 03.05.1997, at about 7.15 A.M., the place of occurrence was visited in the presence of the witnesses, an observation mahazar and rough sketch was drawn and the observation mahazar was marked as Ex.P-8 and the rough sketch was marked as Ex.P-9. In the presence of witnesses and panchayatars, an inquest was conducted on the body of the deceased from 08.00 A.M., to 2.00 P.M., an inquest report was prepared. The inquest report was marked as Ex.P-10. From the place of occurrence around 2.00 P.M, the blood-stained mud, ordinary mud and one plastic cover blood-stained leather chappal, Reynolds pen were seized in a seizure mahazar and the seizure mahazar was marked as Ex.P-11. The blood-stained mud and the ordinary mud were respectively marked as M.O-1 and M.O-2. The identification card which was kept in the cover was marked as M.O-3 and the blood-stained leather chappal was marked as M.O-4. Reynolds pen was marked as M.O-5.

8) On 05.05.1997 witnesses Pushpa, Kaveriappa were enquired and from the enquiry, it came to know that the deceased was one Gopal, son of Madappa, of Thalli Venkateshwara Colony. Witnesses Head Constable Subburaj, Head Constable Manivannan were enquired and the respective statement were recorded. The dress which was worn by the deceased were sent to chemical for laboratory for analysis and the requisition given to that effect was marked as Ex.P-12. On 17.05.1997,



witnesses Divakaran, Nathan, Girish, Lakshmanan were enquired and respective statement was recorded. Witnesses Narayanan, Vijayakumar, Dhanappan are involved in this case was came to the knowledge of the Investigation Officer, after the examination of witnesses. Dr. Saroja, who conducted postmortem on the body of deceased was enquired and the statement was recorded. The skull and the deceased photo were sent to court for analysis and the requisition given to that effect was marked as Ex.P-13. On 16.05.1997 witnesses Abdul Rahman, Mithu were enquired and the respective statement was recorded. On 24.09.1997, Narayanappa, Vijayakumar were arrested by Whitefield Police of Bangalore with respect of Cr.No.123/1997, u/s. 302 of IPC and the confession statement of the accused were sent to the Investigation Officer in this case. On 09.10.1997, the Sub-Inspector of police, Bangalore, Kadugodi police station, Tr.Narasimhaiah and witnesses Venkataramanappa, Gopal Reddy, Babu were enquired and the respective statement were recorded. The accused Narayanan @ Narayanasami, Viji @ Vijayakumar were forwarded to court for judicial custody and a requisition given for police custody. On 15.10.1997, at about 5.00 P.M., from Denkanikottai to Hosur Road at Thalli junction, accused Dhanappa has given confession statement to the police and the same was recorded in the presence of Village Assistant Tr. Kannan and Village Administrative Officer Janakiraman. On the same day, around 7.00 P.M., accused Dhanappa was taken to his house and from his house from a Samsonite box, one bag with a zip, pension certificate, plastic card, Selection Center, South Bangalore and liquor card, Thallihalli were seized in a seizure mahazar and the seizure mahazar was marked as



Ex.P-14. Witnesses Janakiraman, Kannan were enquired and the respective statement was recorded. Witnesses Pushpa, Chinnappa, Muniappa were enquired and the respective statement were recorded. Thereafter accused was forwarded to court for judicial custody. On 26.10.1997, witnesses Raja, Baskaran, Narayanappa were enquired and the respective statement were recorded. The documents which were obtained from Whitefield Police Station were translated in Tamil and the Alli @ Allirani specimen signature were obtained and a requisition was given in this regard to the court and the requisition was marked as Ex.P-15. Witnesses Alli, Thangaraj were enquired and the respective statement were recorded. Thereafter PW10 was got transferred. The Sub-Inspector of police, Rajendran taken up the case for investigation and on 02.02.1998 after getting Biological Report Ex.P-16 and Anthropology Report Ex.P-17 and Serological Report Ex.P-18, the charge sheet was filed as against the accused.

9) PW2 Pushpa deposed before the court that her husband name is Gopal. He served in the Indian Army and thereafter retired and was living with him at Thalli and her husband he was thereafter serving as a driver at Ashok Leyland company. In the year 1997, her husband went to his work and thereafter never returned back. 3 days after, the said incident, police has shown the chain and the ring, shirt, pant, chappal worn by Gopal and PW2 identified those articles and the dress were worn by her husband and police informed that her husband was being murdered. PW2 further stated that she did not know for what purpose the murder took place and did not



know who are the persons involved in the murder of her husband.

10) PW3 Kaveriappa deposed before the court that PW2 Pushpa is her daughter and his son-in-law in Gopal and PW3 said Gopal served in Indian Army and thereafter retired from service and worked as a driver at Ashok Leyland, Hosur. 20 to 25 years back, he came to know that his son-in-law Gopal was died and photos were shown by the police. PW3 further deposed that he did not know how his son-in-law died. PW4 Divakaran deposed before the court that he is serving in ADMK Party and deposed that he did not know anything about the case.

11) PW5 Janakiraman deposed before the court that he is presently residing at Denkanikottai and served as a Tahsildar and retired from service on 31/7/2015. When he served as a Village Administrative Officer on 15/1/1987 at about 5.00 A.M., the Inspector of Police, Denkanikottai Police Station, with respect of Cr.No. 184/1987 has arrested one Dhanappa of Hosur. PW5 further deposed that the police called him over phone that they want to get a confession statement in his presence, as such, PW5 along with his Assistant Kannan went there and the confession statement of the accused was recorded by the police and in the said confession statement, PW5 along with the Assistant put up the signature and further stated that at about 7.00 P.M., at Denkanikottai Police Station, one Rexine bag, Identity card of Gopal, one pension book, one inland letter, which was written by Alli to Gopal were seized at the behest of Dhanappa and the police has seized the said things. The signature of PW5 in the above said document was marked as Ex.P-2 and the signature of PW5 in the seizure mahazar was marked as Ex.P-3.



12) PW5 Nagaraj deposed before the court 20 years back, he went to the police station, and police asked him to sign in some papers.

13) PW6 further deposed that one Gopi's body was buried and before that a postmortem was conducted. PW6 further deposed that he did not know how the said Gopi died.

14) PW7 Girish, deposed before the court that he is now attached with CPIM Party and 10 to 15 years back, when he went to the Thalli Police Station with respect of a village issue, police has obtained his signature.

15) PW8 Tmt. Saroja deposed before the court that on 03.05.1997, at about 7.10 P.M., a requisition was given for conducting postmortem on the body of the deceased Gopal and on the basis of the requisition on the next day on 04.05.1997 at about 11.30 A.M., the postmortem was started. Rigor mortis was not present. Eyes were closed. Doctor has stated the external injuries which were found on the person and also stated the injury sustained by the said person and also about the internal injuries sustained by said deceased person. Doctor gave opinion that the deceased would have died due to the head injury sustained and also due to sudden shock and hemorrhage and the said death would have occurred 40 to 46 hours prior to the said conducting of postmortem and the postmortem report was marked as Ex.P-5.

16) PW9 Raja deposed before the court that his uncle Gopal served in the Indian Army and thereafter after retirement he served in the Ashok Leyland company. On 02.05.1997, his uncle Gopal died and he came to know about the said incident through paper and with respect of incident PW9's father has said to be given a



complaint. PW9 further deposed that his father stated to him that two persons from Bangalore taken Gopal and in a two-wheeler and thereafter the said Gopal died.

17) With examination of 10 witnesses, other witnesses were dispensed by the Public Prosecutor and the prosecution evidence closed.

18) The accused was questioned about the incriminating evidence standing against them under Sec.313(1)(b) of Cr.P.C., and the accused stated that the evidence given by the prosecution witnesses were false. The accused initially stated that, they have got witnesses at the time of examination, but later point of time the defence counsel made endorsement that there is no witnesses to be examined from the defence side, as such without examining anyone from the defence side, the defence side evidence closed.

19) Now the question for determination is that

Whether the prosecution is successful in establishing the guilt of the accused beyond all reasonable doubts?

20) Heard both side arguments. Written argument filed on the side of accused.

POINT:

21) It is the case of the prosecution that on 02.05.1997 prior to the murder, A1 to A3 has hatched a criminal conspiracy with respect of A3's wife Allirani having illicit contact with the deceased person and in furtherance of said criminal conspiracy, A1 to A3 at about 15.30 hours on the same day at Periyakodipalli road near Upparapalli, A1, A2 intentionally attacked Gopal, A1 attacked with a knife by



stabbing the deceased on his cheek, right eyebrow, chest, thigh, stomach and A2 with his, with a stone attacked on the head, as a result of which deceased Gopal died. Though this incident was said to be taken place in the evening hours, according to the prosecution but there is no direct evidence for the said occurrence and prosecution has relied upon circumstantial evidence in this case.

22) The circumstantial evidence must show that the accused were involved in the offence. It is the case of the prosecution A1 to A3 has hatched a criminal conspiracy and thereby murdered the said Gopal, as Gopal is having illicit intimacy with A-3's wife Allirani to speak about the said motive behind the attack. Though PW1 to PW7 witnesses were examined, no witness has come forward to depose that there existed an illicit relationship between Gopal and Allirani. If any of the witnesses has deposed that there existed an illicit relationship between Gopal and the said Allirani, then the court can come to the conclusion, because of the said illicit relationship prevailing between them, the said Gopal was murdered by A1 to A3 by hatching criminal conspiracy. But unfortunately, in this case the so called motive behind the murder of said Gopal was not established by the prosecution by letting clear evidence. In order to show that this accused has taken the deceased Gopal in two-wheeler and thereafter the said Gopal was murdered, no witnesses has come forward to depose that they have seen this accused A1, A2 has taken the Gopal with him on the particular day on 02.05.1997. If any one of the witnesses examined the prosecution has stated that they have seen this accused A1, A2 has taken Gopal with



them, then it will be a chain link to support the case of the prosecution. But unfortunately in this case, no witnesses stated that these accused were sighted by them on 02.05.1997 at the evening hours. So, the above said factor also goes against the case of the prosecution.

23) In a case involving circumstance evidence the chain of events must be so arranged that no chain has to be snapped. If there is a snap in the chain then it will lead to acquittal of the accused. But on going through the oral and documentary evidence placed before the court, more particularly the oral evidence tendered by the witnesses in this case did not point out the accused involvement in the offence. Though, the confession statement of Dhanappa alone was marked in this case, but the said Dhanappa already died and the charge against the said Dhanappa was already got abated. With respect of A-1 and A-2 accused is concerned, they were arrested by the Whitefield police station and thereafter they were remanded in this case and thereafter the police has obtained a confession statement from the A-1, A-2 accused in this case. But merely based on the confession statement of the accused the guilt of the accused cannot be established. There should be some positive evidence from the prosecution witnesses to link the accused with the offence. But on going through the evidence of PW1 to PW7, there is no link between the accused and the oral testimony of the PW1 to PW7. When the oral testimony of PW1 to PW7 did not indict the accused involvement in the offence, then based on the oral and documentary evidence placed before the court, if the court record a conviction, then it will be prejudicial to



the interest of the accused herein.

24) In this case though the complaint was given by PW1, but PW1 did not come forward to state about what are the contents of the said complaint. PW1 happens to be a erstwhile Government Servant, but for the reason best known to him, he did not come forward to state about the contents of the complaint given in this regard. Since a criminal case heavily depend upon the complaint given by the defacto complainant, when the defacto complainant did not come forward to state about the contents of the complaint, then the entire prosecution case which depend upon the complaint was got shattered. In this case, PW1 for the reason best known to him, did not come forward to depose about the contents of the complaint which was lodged by him on 02.05.1997. Since the complainant himself turned hostile the entire case which depends upon the prosecution case got shattered. Not only the complainant, but other supporting witnesses prosecution did not come forward to depose in support of prosecution.

25) It is also important to note that some of the important witnesses in this case, namely those person who have last seen the accused in company of the accused was not examined by the prosecution because of the fact, their whereabouts are not known or some of the witnesses were already died. Since, the occurrence happened in the year 1997, but the trial was started only in the year 2025, because of the said fact there is a gap of 28 years, most of the witnesses, either they have died or their



whereabouts are not known. Since the material witnesses in this case were already died and other witnesses whereabouts were not secured by the police with the available evidence this court is of the view that the witnesses who were examined in this case did not point out the accused involvement in the offence. Based on the Investigation Officer deposition alone, if the court come jump into conclusion, then it will be prejudicial to the interest of the accused herein and this court of the view that the prosecution has miserably failed to establish the guilt of the A1 and A2 beyond all reasonable doubts and the doubts in the case of prosecution goes in favour of the accused, accused found not guilty for the offence u/s.120(B), 302 r/w 34 of IPC.

In the result, A1 found not guilty for the offence u/s.120(B), 302 r/w 34 of IPC, A2 found not guilty for the offence u/s. 302 r/w 34 of IPC, accordingly the accused A1 and A2 were acquitted from the above charges in terms of under Sec.235(1) of Cr.P.C., and they are ordered to be set at liberty. The bail bond if any executed by the A1 and A2 accused are ordered to be cancelled.

Property Order: (C.P.No.9/2025, dated 26.02.2025)

M.O-1 to M.O-6, M.O-10 to M.O-14 and M.O.-19 to M.O-21 were ordered to be destroyed after appeal time is over. M.O-7 to M.O-9 are ordered to be kept with records. M.O-15 (A Gold chain weigh about 12 gms mentioned about joint circle RK,K,KA), M.O-16 (A bloodstained Gold ring weigh about 7 gms), M.O-17 (A



silver hip chain length about 5 feet 10 ankanams), M.O-18 (A square shape Titan Watch 1) were already handed over to the PW2 Pushpa, wife of the deceased Gopal, as per order of District Munsif-cum- Judicial Magistrate, Denkanikottai, dated 19.11.2012 are ordered to be retained forever and the bond executed shall stands dismissed, after appeal time is over.

Dictated to the Stenographer, directly typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in Open Court, today this the 28th day of April, 2026.

Annexure:

Prosecution Side Witnesses:

PW-1	12.09.2024	Thiru. Narayana Chetty
PW-2	19.11.2024	Tmt. Pushpa
PW-3	19.11.2024	Thiru. Kaveriyappa
PW-4	19.11.2024	Thiru. Thivakaran
PW-5	30.06.2025	Thiru. Janakiraman
PW-6	08.07.2025	Thiru. Nagaraj
PW-7	08.07.2025	Thiru. Girish
PW-8	25.11.2025	Tmt. Dr.V. Saroja
PW-9	25.11.2025	Thiru. Raja
PW-10	17.03.2026	Thiru. Vilwarani Murugan

Prosecution Side Exhibits:

EX.P-1/PW1	02.05.1997	The signature of PW1 in the complaint statement
------------	------------	---



EX.P-2/PW5	15.10.1997	The signature of PW5 in Confession Statement of accused Dhanappan
EX.P-3/PW5	15.10.1997	The seizure mahazar 1 st signature obtained by PW5
EX.P-4/PW5	03.05.1997	The Postmortem requisition letter
EX.P-5/PW8	03.05.1997	The Postmortem Certificate
EX.P-6/PW10	02.05.1997	The complaint
EX.P-7/PW10	03.05.1997	The FIR
EX.P-8/PW10	03.05.1997	The Observation Mahazar
EX.P-9/PW10	03.05.1997	The Rough Sketch
EX.P-10/PW10	03.05.1997	The Inquest Report
EX.P-11/PW10	03.05.1997	The Seizure Mahazar, Dt.03.05.1997, 14.00 hours
EX.P-12/PW10	08.07.1997	The Chemical analysis requisition letter
EX.P-13/PW10	18.11.1997	The Super imposition requisition letter
EX.P-14/PW10	15.10.1997	The Seizure Mahazar, Dt.15.10.1997, 19.00 hours
EX.P-15/PW10	19.11.1997	The Handwriting expert requisition letter
EX.P-16/PW9	02.02.1998	The Biological Report in RT3972/97/ Biol/CBE/462/97, dated 02.02.1998
EX.P-17/PW10	17.04.1998	The Skull Report in T.No.6861/97 Anthro 150/97 and T.No.6863/97 Anthro 151/97, dated 17.04.1998
EX.P-18/PW10	15.07.1998	The Serological Report in T.No.2546/98 Ser.CBE.338/98, dated 15.07.1998
EX.P-19/PW10	03.05.1997	The Special Report
EX.P-20/PW10	15.06.1998	The Handwriting Expert opinion report, dated 15.06.1998 in Doc.No. 380/1997
EX.P-21/PW10	03.05.1997, 05.05.1997, 16.10.1997, 27.10.1997, 11.11.1997,	The Form -91 (5 Nos.)

Material Objects:

M.O.1	A bloodstained mud
M.O.2	A ordinary mud



M.O.3	A ID card plastic cover
M.O.4	A bloodstained leather chappal – 1 pair
M.O.5	A Reynold blue colour pen
M.O.6	A black colour recksin bag namely SAMSONITE with zip
M.O.7	A blue colour pension certificate with Gopal and his wife (Page Nos. 1 to 15)
M.O.8	A Liquor card dated 05.02.1997 with Gopal photo
M.O.9	A Inland letter card by Alli, Thally to HAV D. Gopal 436Fd Coy II Engr regt, C/o 56APO
M.O.10	A red colour leather belt with nickel buckle
M.O.11	A bloodstained blue colour light blue colour half hand shirt
M.O.12	A torn bloodstained dark blue colour pant
M.O.13	A torn bloodstained white colour sleeveless banian
M.O.14	A bloodstained biscuit colour underwear
M.O.15	A Gold chain weigh about 12 gms mentioned about joint circle RK,K,KA
M.O.16	A bloodstained Gold ring weigh about 7 gms
M.O.17	A silver hip chain length about 5 feet 10 ankanams
M.O.18	A square shape Titan Watch 1
M.O.19	A key bunch with L type key and other 3 keys
M.O.20	A bloodstained button knife length about 23 1/2 cm with brass handle
M.O.21	A bloodstained stone length about 1 feet, width 7 1/2 ankanams

Defence side witnesses :- Nil

Defence Side Exhibits:- Nil

Defence Side M.O's:- Nil