

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, KRISHNAGIRI.**Present: Tmt.V.R.Latha, M.A., B.L.,****Principal Sessions Judge, Krishnagiri**Monday, the 16th day of March 2026**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION NO.894/ 2026.**

1.Pruthviraj

2.Manikanta

...Petitioners/Accused

/ Versus /

State: The Inspector of Police,

CSCID Krishnagiri P.S., Cr.No:42/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition has come up today before this court in the presence of Tr.M.Ragul, counsel for the petitioner and Tr.M.Visva Bharathi, Public Prosecutor for the respondent police, after hearing both sides, perusing the averments, this Court delivered the following:

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioners/accused U/S. 483 of BNSS Act, seeking bail. Petitioners were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 23.02.2026 for the alleged offence U/S. 6(4) of TNSC (RDSC) order 1982 r/w 7(1)(a)(ii) of EC Act 1955.

2] The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons. The defacto complainant gave a false complainant against the petitioners with false allegations and the respondent police falsely implicated the petitioners in this case only for statistical purpose. The petitioners are in judicial custody for the past 22 days. The learned counsel for the petitioners further submitted that the co-accused has already been released on station bail by the respondent police. The petitioners are law abiding citizens and they are only breadwinner of their families and they are ready to abide the condition if any to be

imposed by this court. Accordingly, the learned counsel for the petitioners prayed to release the petitioner on bail.

3] On the other hand, the Learned Public prosecutor has objected to release the petitioners on bail by stating that on the occurrence date, when the respondent police were on their regular patrol duty, at that time this petitioners are attempting to transport 18,200 KG of PDS rice without any legal permission through Vehicle and that the respondent/police have seized the above said PDS rice along with vehicle from the place of occurrence and registered a case against the petitioners. The learned Public Prosecutor also submitted that samples of the seized rice were sent to the laboratory for verification to confirm whether it is Civil Supply (PDS) rice. In this case, investigation is at an initial stage. At this stage, if the petitioners are released on bail, it will affect the investigation. Accordingly, the learned Public prosecutor has strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioners.

4] Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

5] Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by the learned counsels on either side and considering the early stage of investigation, nature and gravity of offence and also taking into account that the transported rice is a Civil Supply (PDS) rice which was confirmed by the quality inspector in his report. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners and the petition deserves to be dismissed.

In fine, this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on this, the 16th day of March 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Krishnagiri.

Copy To:

- 1) The Judicial Magistrate No-I, Krishnagiri
- 2) The Public prosecutor, Principal District Court, Krishnagiri.
- 3) The Inspector of police, CSCID Krishnagiri P.S.
- 4) Tr.M.Ragul, Advocate, Krishnagiri.