

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, KRISHNAGIRI.

Present: Tmt.V.R.Latha, M.A., B.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Krishnagiri

Monday, the 16th day of March 2026

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION NO.889/ 2026

1.Srinivasan

...Petitioner/Accused

/ Versus /

State: The Inspector of police,

Hudco P.S,Cr.No:28/2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition has come up today before this court in the presence of Tr.A.Ramesh, Counsel for the petitioner and Tr.M.Visva Bharathi, Public Prosecutor for the respondent, after perusing the petition averments, upon hearing both side arguments, this Court pronounces the following:

ORDER

Heard both sides,

This petition has been filed by the petitioners/accused U/S. 483 of BNSS Act, seeking bail. Petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 15.02.2026 for the alleged offence U/S. 105 of BNS Act.

2] The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Due to family dispute, the defacto complainant gave a false complainant against the petitioner with false allegations and the respondent/police has falsely implicated the

petitioner in this case. The petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 30 days. The petitioner is a law abiding citizen and he has only breadwinner of his family and he is ready to abide the condition if any to be imposed by this court. Accordingly, the learned counsel for the petitioner prayed to release the petitioner on bail.

3] On the other hand, the learned Public prosecutor vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner by stating that the deceased was the daughter of both the defacto complainant and the petitioner. It is alleged that on the date of occurrence, the deceased took a group photo with her school friends on the last day of her examination and posted it as her status. The petitioner, who is the father of the deceased, saw the status and assaulted her in front of the defacto complainant. Due to the assault, the deceased was admitted to the hospital and later succumbed to death. In this case, investigation is at an initial stage. At this stage if the petitioner is released on bail, it will affect the investigation. Accordingly the learned Public prosecutor strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

4] This Court carefully considered the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor and also perused the materials available on record. The allegation against the petitioner is very grave in nature. It is the specific case of the prosecution that the petitioner, who is none other than the father of the deceased, assaulted his daughter, which resulted in her hospitalization and subsequent death. The materials placed before this Court, at this stage, prima facie disclose the involvement of the petitioner in the commission of the offence.

5] It is further seen that the investigation is still at an initial stage. In cases of

this nature, releasing the petitioner on bail at this juncture may hamper the investigation and there is every possibility of the petitioner influencing the witnesses, particularly when the witnesses are family members. Considering the seriousness of the allegations, the nature of the offence, the stage of investigation, and the resultant death of the victim, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

In fine, this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on this, the 16th day of March 2026.

Principal District Judge,
Krishnagiri.

Copy To: 1) The Judicial Magistrate, No-II, Hosur.

2) The Public prosecutor, Principal District Court, Krishnagiri.

3) The Inspector of police, Hudco PS,

4) Tr.A.Ramesh, Advocate, Krishnagiri.