

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, KRISHNAGIRI.

Present: Tmt.V.R.Latha, M.A., B.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Krishnagiri

Thursday, the 12th day of March 2026

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION NO.864/2026.

1.Mayila

2.Murugan

3.Venkatesh

4.Vediyappan

... Petitioners/ Accused

/Versus/

State: The Inspector of Police,

Kelamangalam P.S., Cr.No:50/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition has come up today before this court in the presence of Tr.N.V.Emayam, counsel for the petitioner and Tr.M.Visva Bharathi, Public Prosecutor for the respondent police, after hearing both side, perusing the averments, this Court delivered the following:

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioners/accused U/S. 482 of BNSS Act, seeking anticipatory bail for the alleged offence U/S. 303(2) of BNS Act and Sec 21(1) of MM Act.

2] The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Only for the statistical purpose, the respondent/police has foisted a false

case against the petitioners. The respondent/police is attempting to arrest the petitioners. The petitioners are only breadwinners of their families and they are a law abiding citizens. If the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they will not abscond and they will abide the condition if any to be imposed by this Court. Accordingly prayed to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners.

3] Per contra, the learned Public prosecutor has strongly opposed to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners by stating that on receipt of information regarding illegal quarrying activities, the Revenue officials inspected the place in Survey No.457. During the inspection, it was found that the petitioners along with other accused had carried out quarrying activities without any valid license and proper documents, and that the revenue officials were intercepted the above said vehicle and seized the vehicles from the place of occurrence and handed it over to the respondent/police. The respondent/police has registered a case against the petitioners. These petitioners have escaped from the place of occurrence and they are still absconding. In this case, investigation in this case is still in progress and the releasing the petitioners on anticipatory bail at this stage would adversely affect the investigation. Accordingly the learned Public prosecutor strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4] Considering the nature and gravity of the offence and the fact that the petitioners were involved in illegal quarrying activities without obtaining proper permission and also considering the fact that these petitioners are still absconding.

Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners and the petition deserves to be dismissed.

In fine, this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on this, the 12th day of March 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Krishnagiri.

Copy To: 1) The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Denkanikottai.
2) The Public prosecutor, Principal District Court, Krishnagiri.
3) The Inspector of police, Kelamangalam P.S.
4) Tr.N.V.Emayam, Advocate, Krishnagiri.